



# ITEM 9.6

## 7.2.17

### Kingsbridge SCITT

## ANTI-FRAUD, BRIBERY & CORRUPTION POLICY STATEMENT

### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 Kingsbridge SCITT is determined to maintain its reputation as an organisation which will not tolerate fraud, bribery, corruption or abuse of position for personal gain, wherever it may be found.
- 1.2 The purpose of this Policy Statement is to set out for Head Teacher Board Members and Contractors of Kingsbridge SCITT ,the main principles for countering fraud, bribery and corruption.
- 1.3 The Policy statement includes:-
  - the scope;
  - the culture and the stance against fraud and corruption;
  - how to raise concerns and report financial malpractice;
  - An outline of the policies, procedures and controls used by school to counter-fraud
  - School Responsibilities
- 1.4 Head Teacher Board Members, and contractors, should play a key role in counter-fraud initiatives. This includes providing a corporate framework within which counter-fraud arrangements will flourish, and the promotion of an anti-

fraud culture across the whole of the school. This should provide a sound defence against internal and external abuse of public funds.

1.5 The Committee on Standards in Public Life (Nolan Committee) set out seven principles of public life which apply to all public office holders. The seven principles are:

- Honesty
- Integrity
- Selflessness
- Objectivity
- Openness
- Accountability
- Leadership

This policy statement reflects these key principles.

1.6 All schools come within the local authority external audit regime and part of the external auditor's statutory duties are to ensure that the Council and its schools has in place adequate arrangements for the prevention and detection of fraud and corruption.

## **2. Definition of Fraud, Bribery & Corruption**

2.1 The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) defines Fraud as the

*“intentional distortion of financial statements or other records by persons internal or external to the organisation, which is carried out to conceal the misappropriation of assets or otherwise for gain.”*

The Fraud Act 2006 further defines fraud in three classes

- False representation
- Failure to disclose information where there is a legal duty to do so
- Abuse of position

2.2 CIPFA defines Corruption as the

*“offering, giving, soliciting or acceptance of an inducement or reward which may improperly influence the action of any person.”*

2.3 The Theft Act 1968 defines theft as

*“a person shall be guilty of theft if he dishonestly appropriates property belonging to another with the intention of permanently depriving the other of it”*

## 2.4 CIPFA defines Bribery as

*“an inducement or reward offered, promised or provided to gain personal, commercial, regulatory or contractual advantage”*

## 3 Scope

- 3.1 The Policy Statement applies to the Head Teacher Board, and all staff (full time, part time, temporary and casual) who work for any KEIP SCITT Partnership school.
- 3.2 Kingsbridge SCITT expects that individuals and organisations (e.g. partners, suppliers, contractors, and service providers) that it deals with will act with integrity and without thought or actions involving fraud, bribery and corruption. Where relevant, Kingsbridge SCITT will include appropriate clauses in its contracts about the consequences of fraud, bribery and corruption; evidence of such acts are most likely to lead to a termination of the particular contract and may lead to prosecution.

## 4 Culture and Stance Against Fraud & Corruption

- 4.1 Responsibility for an anti-fraud culture in school is the duty of both the Head Teacher Board, the Head Teacher and Senior Management Team. The strategy should be directed against fraud and corruption whether it is attempted against the school from outside or from within its own workforce.
- 4.2 KEIP SCITT expects that Head Teacher Board Members and Contractors at all levels will lead by example in ensuring adherence to legal requirements, Standing Orders relating to contracts, the Scheme for Financing Schools, codes of conduct and best (professional) practice.
- 4.3 As part of this culture, the KEIP SCITT will provide clear routes by which concerns can be raised by both Head Teacher Board Members and Contractors, and those outside who are providing, using or paying for public services.
- 4.4 Senior Management is expected to deal swiftly and firmly with those who defraud the school or who are corrupt. KEIP SCITT, including Head Teacher Board Members and senior management will be robust in dealing with financial malpractice.

## 5 Raising Concerns

- 5.1 Although this document specifically refers to fraud and corruption, it equally applies to any forms of malpractice that can reduce public confidence in the school, and may also include acts committed outside of official duties but which impact upon the school's trust in the individual concerned. Examples may include, the criminal acts of theft of "property", which includes all assets and cash; false accounting; obtaining by deception; pecuniary advantage by deception; computer abuse and computer crime. Also, it includes bribery and corruption. Staff and Governors can be exposed to a number of "pressures", from external parties to act in a particular way in a particular case.
- 5.2 Head Teacher Board Members and Contractors are an important element in the KEIP's stance on fraud, bribery and corruption, and they are positively encouraged and expected to raise any concerns that they may have on these issues where they are associated with the KEIP's activity.
- 5.3 Contractors should normally raise concerns through their immediate manager Primary or Secondary Training Manager however it is recognised that they may feel inhibited in certain circumstances depending on the seriousness and sensitivity of the issue and who is thought to be involved in the malpractice. In this case, Contractors and Head Teacher Board members should contact the Chair of the Head Teacher Board and CEO of CFAT.

The KEIP's "**Whistleblowing**" Policy gives further guidance on how to raise concerns and it gives details about the support and safeguards that are available to those that do raise concerns.

## **6 Action KEIP Will Take**

- 6.1 All concerns will be treated in confidence and will be investigated promptly and fully in a professional manner, usually by the CFAT's Internal Audit Service, who should be notified of all allegations received. It should be noted that an allegation does not mean the individual person or company is guilty of any wrong-doing, and so they will not be treated as such until the case is proven.
- 6.2 If fraud, bribery or corruption has taken place, KEIP in conjunction with the CFAT will, in appropriate cases
- prosecute the individual(s) or refer the case to the police
  - take appropriate disciplinary action where it involves an employee and this may lead to dismissal
  - pursue recovery for any financial loss

- where appropriate the results of any action taken, including prosecutions will be reported in the media.
- 6.3 There is, of course, a need to ensure that the investigation process is not misused, therefore, any internal abuse, such as raising malicious or vexatious allegations, may be dealt with as a disciplinary matter.

## **7 How KEIP manages the risk of Fraud and Corruption**

7.1 The KEIP's governance framework provides a range of high level component parts, which contribute to KEIP having an effective counter-fraud strategy, and some of the key ones include:-

- This Anti-Fraud, Bribery & Corruption Policy Statement which emphasises the importance of probity to all concerned;
- Head Teacher Board support
- "Whistleblowing" Policy
- Standing Orders Relating to Contracts and Financial Procedures including the Scheme of Financial Administration and the Financial Handbook for SCITTs
- Adherence to the Scheme for Financing ITT
- Sound internal control systems including an adequate 'Separation of Duties' for key transactions
- Accurate, complete and reliable records;
- An effective internal audit service;
- Effective recruitment procedures;
- Clear responsibilities, accountabilities and standards laid out in terms of reference and the Scheme of Delegation
- Induction and training.
- Sanctions and Redress for non-compliance

## **8 KEIP Responsibilities**

- 8.1 Accordingly, the Head Teacher Board and CFAT will:-
- take overall responsibility for the maintenance and operation of this Policy;
  - promote awareness of the principles and practices it contains;
  - ensure that effective systems of internal control are maintained
  - that fraud risks are periodically assessed and actions taken where necessary

- maintain records of financial malpractice, including concerns and allegations received, matters arising from audits, investigations and evidence and the outcomes;
- report as necessary to the Community First Academy Trust Board.

KEIP SCITT 2017/18