

Supplementary Table 2

Studies of Combinations of radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy (ADT)

Study	TNM stage	No.	Trial design	ADT	RT	Effect on OS
<i>Trials of addition of radiotherapy to ADT</i>						
SPCG-7/ SFUO-3 Scandinavia Widmark 2011,Fossa 2015	T1b-2 WHO Grade 1-3, T3 N0 M0	875	ADT ± EBRT	LHRHa for 3 mo. + continuous flutamide	70 Gy CFRT vs. no RT	39%vs 30% overall mortality at 10 years (RR 0.68), 34% vs.17% CSM at 15yr. favouring combined treatment (p < 0.0001)
NCIC PR.3/MRC PRO7 Canada and UK Mason 2016	T3-4 (88%), PSA > 20 ng/ mL (64%), ISUP grade group 4-5 (36%) N0 M0	1,205	ADT ± EBRT	Continuous LHRHa	65–70 Gy CFRT vs. no RT	10-yr. OS = 49% vs. 55% favouring combined treatment HR: 0.7, p < 0.001)
<i>Trials of addition of ADT to radiotherapy</i>						
RTOG 85-31 USA Pilepich 1997, 2005	T3orN1M0	977	EBRT ± ADT	Orchiectomy or LHRH agonist	65–70 Gy	Overall survival benefit for ADT at 10 yrs 49% vs 39% (p=0.002) and reduction in distant metastases 24% vs 39% (P<0.001) Possible lack of benefit in centrally reviewed Gleason≤6
Umea Sweden Granfors 1998,2006	Locally advanced with lymph node staging	91	EBRT +/- orchidectomy	orchidectomy	EBRT	FU 9/19 years : Overall survival 38%/76% and 61%/87% (p = 0.02/0.03), with CSM 44%/57% and 27%/36% (p = 0.06/p=0.02),
EORTC 22863 European multicentre Bolla 2002, 2010	T1–2 poorly differentiated and M0, or T3–4 N0–1 M0	415	EBRT +/- ADT	LHRH agonist for 3 yr. (adjuvant)	EBRT 70 Gy RT	Overall survival 58% vs 40 % (HR 0.60 p=0.004) and PCSM 10% vs 30% (HR 0.34 P<0.0001) at 10 yr. in favour of combined treatment
RTOG 86-10 USA Roach 2008	T2–4 N0–1	456	EBRT +/- ADT	Goserelin plus flutamide 2 mo. before, plus concomitant therapy	EBRT 65–70 Gy	Overall survival at 10years. 43% vs 34 % p=0.01, DSM 23% vs 36% p=0.01, DM 35% vs 47% P=0.006
RTOG 94-08 USA Jones 2011,2022	T1b-T2bN0 PSA≤20	2028	EBRT +/-ADT	LHRHa plus flutamide 2 mo. before, plus	EBT 66.6Gy	Overall survival at 10years. 62% vs 57% HR 1.17 p=0.03, DSM 4% vs

				concomitant therapy		8% HR1.87 p=0.001 Overall survival at 18years. 23% vs 23% HR 0.94 p=0.94, mean estimated survival time 11.8 yrs vs 11.3yrs p=0.05 DSM 8% vs 14% HR 0.56 p<0.01
Massachusetts USA D'Amico AV, et al. 2008	T2N0M0 (localised unfavourable risk)	206	EBRT +/- ADT	LHRH agonist plus flutamide for 6 mo.	CFRT 70Gy	Overall survival benefit to RT+ADT (HR 1.8, p = 0.01) Benefit may be mitigated if comorbidities present
TROG 96-01 Australia Denham 2005,2011	T2b-4 N0 M0	802	EBRT +/- Neoadjuvant ADT	Goserelin plus flutamide for 3 vs 6 months	66 Gy CfRT	6m ADT vs no ADT: 10 year survival advantage (HR 0.6 p=0.0008, PCSS HR 0.49 p=0.0008, and DM HR 0.49 p=0.001) 3m ADT vs no ADT: improved PSA and event free progression only
PCS III Canada Nabid 2021,2021	Intermediate risk	600	76 Gy alone vs. 76 Gy + ADT vs. 70 Gy + ADT	LHRHa + bicalutamide 6 months.	70 Gy vs.76Gy	Reduced risk of PCa death with ADT 1.5%(ADT+76Gy) vs 4.5% (ADT+70Gy) vs 12% (No ADT) Significantly improved FFS with ADT. More side effects with 76Gy
RTOG 0815 USA Kraus 2023	Intermediate risk	1,492	Dose escalated RT ± ADT	LHRHa + antiandrogen 6 months.	79.2Gy (89%) or 45Gy + BT boost (11%)	No difference in OS at 10 years (10/119 deaths due to CaP No ADT vs 1/100 with ADT). Significantly improved biochemical failure-free, metastatic-free survival and PCa-specific survival for ADT arm.

EORTC 22991 European multicentre Bolla 2016,2021	Intermediate (481) and limited high risk (338)	819	Dose escalated RT +/- 6m LHRHa	LHRHa for 6 months	70Gy 74Gy or 78Gy CFRT or IMRT	All cases: 7year FU BPFS and clinical PFS improved by ADT HR 0.52 $p \leq 0.001$ and HR 0.63 $p = 0.001$ Intermediate risk (74 and 78Gy): 12year FU, FFS: HR 0.53 $p \leq 0.001$, OS HR 0.74 $p = 0.08$, DMFS.74 $p = 0.07$ but only 8.5% developed mets in RT group and 5.7% with RT plus ADT. No difference between non-randomised 74 and 78 Gy groups
<i>Trials of duration of ADT with radiotherapy</i>						
RTOG 920 USA Lawton 2017	Locally advanced T2c-T4 N0-1	1520	RT and 4 m or 28m ADT	LHRHa for 4 or 28m	EBRT prostate 65-70Gy and pelvis 44-46GY	Follow-up 19.6 years Long term ADT improved relative failure rates for OS,12% $p = 0.03$ DFS 29% $p < 0.00$, DM36% $p < 0.001$ and local failure 46% $p = 0.02$
EORTC 22961 European multicentre Bolla 2009	T2c-T4 N1	970	RT and 6m vs 36m ADT	LHRHa for 6 or 36 months	Prostate 70gy and pelvic 50Gy CFRT	Increase of survival of 3.8%at 5years with long course ADT with reduction in PCa deaths of 1.6%

STAMPEDE: MRC UK Switzerland Attard 2022	Very high risk M0 ≥ 2 of T3/4, Gleason sum score 8- 10, PSA≥40 M0	1974	Combination of 2 trials 1)SOC vs SOC and abiraterone 2)SOC vs SOC +abiraterone and enzalutamide	Combination of 2 trials 1)SOC vs SOC and abiraterone 2)SOC vs SOC +abiraterone and enzalutamide	CFRT/IMRT 74Gy/37f or HFRT Mandated in Node -ve encouraged in node +ve	MFS: At 6 yrs 82% combination vs 69% control HR 0.53 P≤0.0001 OS: At 6 yrs 86% combination vs 77% control HR 0.60 P≤0.0001 PCSS: At 6 yrs 93% combination vs 85%% control HR 0.49 P≤0.0001
<i>Trials of ADT with radiotherapy post-prostatectomy</i>						
RTOG 9601 USA Shipley 2017	pT2R1 pT3cN0	760	Post prostatectomy EBRT vs EBRT + bicalutamide 150 mg for 2 years	EBRT vs EBRT + bicalutamide 150 mg for 2 years	EBRT to prostate bed 64.8Gy	12yr.DM RT + ADT 14%vs RT23% p = 0.005 OS RT + ADT: 76%vsRT 71% p = 0.04 DSM RT + ADT: 5.8%vs RT 13.4% p < 0.001
GETU-AFU France Sargos 2020	ISUP grade ≤3. 89% ISUP grade ≤4. 11%	743	Post prostatectomy EBRT vs EBRT +6m LHRHa	EBRT vs EBRT +6m LHRHa	EBRT to prostate bed 66y	10yr PFS: RT + ADT 64% vs RT49% p<0.0001, 10yr MFS: RT + ADT 75% vs RT 69% p=0.034
RADICALS: MRC UK, Switzerland Parker 2024b,2024c	≥1 risk factor (pT3/4, Gleason 7-10, positive margins, preoperative PSA≥10 ng/ml)	a)1480 (0 vs 6m ADT) b)1523 6m vs 24m ADT)	Post prostatectomy	ADT randomisation a) EBRT alone vs EBRT+6m ADT b)EBRT+6m ADT vs EBRT +24m ADT	EBRT to prostate bed. 66Gy 33f daily or 52.5Gy 20f daily (non- randomised	a)No advantage for 6m ADT vs no ADT in 10yr MFS, 80.4% vs 79.2% p=0.35 or OS. Advantage for clinical PFS, HR 0.54 p<0.0001 with delay in restarting ADT b)Advantage for 24m ADT vs 6m

						ADT in 10 yr MFS, 78.1% vs 71.9% HR0.773, p=0.029 with reduced distant metastases HR 0.634 p=0.0024, improved clinical PFS HR 0.728, p=0.0024 with delay in re- starting ADT HR 0.073, p=0.0047
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Abbreviations as in Supplementary Table 1, additionally: ARPI androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; BT brachytherapy; CSM cause specific mortality; DMFS distant metastases free survival; DSM disease specific mortality; ISUP International Society of Urological Pathology; PCSM prostate cancer specific mortality; PFS progression free survival; RR relative risk; WHO World Health Organisation