Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom T:+44 (0) 1925 655 116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655 419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com

Testing. Advising. Assuring.



### Title:

CLASSIFICATION OF REACTION TO FIRE PERFORMANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH EN 13501-1:2007+A1: 2009.

### **Notified Body No:**

0833

**Product Name:** 

"Millboard"

**Report No:** 

WF 363719

Issue No:

1

### Prepared for:

The Millboard Company Limited Castle Court Bodmin Road Coventry CV2 5DB

### Date:

8<sup>th</sup> April 2016



#### 1. Introduction

This classification report defines the classification assigned to "Millboard", a high density polyurethane reinforced with glass fibre and stone rigid board product, fillers in accordance with the procedures given in EN 13501-1:2007+A1: 2009.

### 2. Details of classified product

#### 2.1 General

The product, "Millboard", is defined as being suitable for floorcovering applications.

## 2.2 Product description

The product, "Millboard", is fully described below and in the test reports provided in support of classification listed in Clause 3.1.

General description	Rigid board product manufactured in high density polyurethane, reinforced with glass fibre and stone fillers. The surface is a softer elastomeric material with additives.
Product reference	"Millboard"
Name of manufacturer	See Note 1 Below
Thickness	31.55mm (determined by <b>Exova</b>
	Warringtonfire)
Weight per unit area	18.20kg/m <sup>2</sup> (determined by <b>Exova</b>
	Warringtonfire)
Colour reference	"Grey" (observed by Exova Warringtonfire)
Flame retardant details	See Note 1 Below
Brief description of manufacturing process	See Note 1 Below

### Note 1: The sponsor was unwilling to provide this information.

The description of the specimens as given above is not as detailed as would usually be the case for descriptions included in **Exova Warringtonfire** test reports and the description may not fully comply with the requirements of the test standard. In all other respects however the tests were conducted fully in accordance with the requirements of the test standard and the test results are valid.

## 3. Test reports & test results in support of classification.

## 3.1 Test reports.

Name of Laboratory	Name of sponsor	Test reports/extended application report Nos.	Test method / extended application rules & date
Exova Warringtonfire	The Millboard Company Limited	WF 361967	EN ISO 11925-2
Exova Warringtonfire	The Millboard Company Limited	WF 361933	EN ISO 9239-1

### 3.2 Test results

				Results			
Test	Test method & test number Para		No. tests	Continuous parameter - mean (m)	Compliance with parameters		
_	Critical flux			8.6kW/m²	Compliant		
E	N ISO 9239-1	Smoke	3	298.78%min	Compliant		
-2	(15s exposure – F <sub>s</sub>		(15s exposure – surface of	F <sub>s</sub>		Nil	Compliant
EN ISO 11925-2	decorative face)	Flaming droplets/ particles	6	None	Compliant		
. 081 1	(15s exposure – F <sub>s</sub>					50mm	Compliant
EN	edge of decorative face)	Flaming droplets/ particles	6	None	Compliant		

### 4. Classification and field of application

#### 4.1 Reference of classification

This classification has been carried out in accordance with clause 9 of EN 13501-1:2007+A1: 2009.

#### 4.2 Classification

The product, "Millboard", a high density polyurethane reinforced with glass fibre and stone rigid board product, in relation to its reaction to fire behaviour is classified:

BFL

The additional classification in relation to smoke production is:

**s1** 

The format of the reaction to fire classification for floorings is:

Fire Behaviour		•	Smoke Production
B <sub>F</sub> L	-	s	1

i.e. B<sub>FL</sub> - s1

## Reaction to fire classification: BFL - s1

### 4.3 Field of application

This classification is valid for the following end use applications:

- i) Floorcovering applications applied over any substrate with a minimum density of 1800kg/m³, having a minimum thickness of 8mm and a fire performance of A2<sub>FL</sub> or better.
- ii) Installed with or without adhesive.

This classification is also valid for the following product parameters:

Floorcovering thickness

Floorcovering weight per unit area
Floorcovering composition
Floorcovering construction

Colour/Pattern

No variation allowed
No variation allowed
Any variation allowed

"The classification assigned to the product in this report is appropriate to a declaration of conformity by the manufacturer within the context of system 3 attestation of conformity and CE marking under the Construction Products Directive. The manufacturer has made a declaration, which is held on file. This confirms that the products design requires no specific processes, procedures or stages (e.g. no addition of flame-retardants, limitation of organic content, or addition of fillers) that are aimed at enhancing the fire performance in order to obtain the classification achieved. As a consequence the manufacturer has concluded that system 3 attestation is appropriate. The test laboratory has, therefore, played no part in sampling the product for the test, although it holds appropriate references, supplied by the manufacturer, to provide for traceability of the samples tested."

**SIGNED** 

**APPROVED** 

**Matthew Dale** 

Certification Engineer Technical Department **Janet Murrell** 


Technical Manager
Technical Department
on behalf of **Exova Warringtonfire** 

This copy has been produced from a .pdf format electronic file that has been provided by **Exova Warringtonfire** to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**. The pdf copy supplied is the sole authentic version of this document. All pdf versions of this report bear authentic signatures of the responsible **Exova Warringtonfire** staff.

Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom T:+44 (0 1925 655116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com



# BS EN ISO 11925-2: 2010



Ignitability Of Building Products Subjected To Direct Impingement Of Flame Part 2: Single Flame Source Test

A Report To: The Millboard Company Limited

Document Reference: 371411

Date: 31st October 2016

Issue No.: 1

Page 1







## **Executive Summary**

**Objective** 

To determine the performance of the following product when tested in accordance with BS EN ISO 11925-2:2010.

= = -11.00 1.000 = -0.00							
Generic Description	Product reference	Thickness	Weight per unit				
			area or density				
Resin moulded board "MDE176G" 32mm 16.76kg/m <sup>2*</sup>							
Individual components use	Individual components used to manufacture composite:						
Resin	Unwilling to provide	Unwilling to provide	Unwilling to provide				
Glass reinforcement Unwilling to provide Unwilling to provide Unwilling to provide							
*determined by Exova Warringtonfire							
Please see page 6 of this test report for the full description of the product tested							

**Test Sponsor** 

The Millboard Company Limited, Ryton Lodge, Oxford Road, Ryton on Dunsmore,

CV8 3EJ

**Test Results:** 

On the set of six specimens which were subject to surface application, the maximum flame height reached was observed to be  $0 \pm 0.9$ mm.

On the set of six specimens which were subject to edge application, the maximum flame height reached was observed to be  $60 \pm 0.9$ mm

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

**Date of Test** 

12<sup>th</sup> September 2016

## **Signatories**

Responsible Officer

C Jacques\*

**Technical Officer** 

Approved

T. Mort \*

Senior Technical Officer

\* For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.

Authorised S. Deeming \*

Business Unit Head

Report Issued: 31st October 2016

This version of the report has been produced from a .pdf format electronic file that has been provided by Exova Warringtonfire to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of Exova Warringtonfire.

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 2 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company Limited Issue No.:

1



### BS EN ISO 11925-2: 2010



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY  SIGNATORIES  TEST DETAILS  DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS  TEST RESULTS  TABLE 1  TABLE 2  REVISION HISTORY	CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
TEST DETAILS  DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS  TEST RESULTS  TABLE 1  TABLE 2	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS  TEST RESULTS  TABLE 1  TABLE 2	SIGNATORIES	2
TEST RESULTS  TABLE 1  TABLE 2	TEST DETAILS	4
TABLE 1	DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	5
TABLE 2	TEST RESULTS	6
	TABLE 1	7
REVISION HISTORY	TABLE 2	7
	REVISION HISTORY	8

371411 3 of 8 Document No.: Page No.: Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

Issue No.:

1

The Millboard Company Limited Client:





### **Test Details**

### **Purpose of test**

To determine the performance of specimens of a product when they are subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS EN ISO 11925-2:2010 "Reaction to Fire tests - Ignitability Of Building Products Subjected to Direct Impingement of Flame – Part 2: Single Flame Source Test".

The test was performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS EN ISO 11925-2:2010 Reaction to Fire Tests - Ignitability of Building Products subjected to direct impingement of flame – Part 2: Single Flame Source Test, and this report should be read in conjunction with that BS EN ISO Standard.

#### Scope of test

BS EN ISO 11925-2 specifies a method of test for determining the ignitability of building products by direct small flame impingement under zero impressed irradiance using specimens tested in a vertical orientation.

# Fire test study group/EGOLF

Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and has agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.

#### Instruction to test

The test was conducted on the 12<sup>th</sup> September 2016 at the request of The Millboard Company Limited, the sponsor of the test.

# Provision of test specimens

The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. **Exova Warringtonfire** was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

# Conditioning of specimens

The specimens were received on the 1<sup>st</sup> September 2016.

Prior to test the specimens were stored for 11 days in a standard atmosphere as defined in BS EN 13238:2010 Conditioning Procedures and General Rules for selection of substrates until constant mass was achieved.

# Intended application

Floor covering

#### **Substrate**

The specimens were tested with an 8mm thick fibre cement board substrate (as specified in EN 13238: 2010) present.

## Flame application time

The flame was applied for 15 seconds

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 4 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company

Limited

Issue No.: 1





## **Description of Test Specimens**

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

			L Dacin maiile	lad baard		
General description Product reference			Resin moulded board			
			"Golden Oal	Κ"		
			32mm (state	ed by sponsor)		
			32.42mm	(determined	by	Exova
			Warrington	fire)	•	
			16.76kg/m <sup>2</sup>	(determined	by	Exova
			Warrington	fire)	-	
t reference			;	See Note 1 belo	)W	
c type			Polyurethan	е		
Name of manufacturer		See Note 1 below				
Specific gravity/density		See Note 1 below				
Flame retardant details		See Note 1 below				
c type			See Note 1 below			
t reference			;	See Note 1 belo	ow .	
r of layers			;	See Note 1 belo	ow .	
	ea of each	n layer	;	See Note 1 belo	ow .	
•	of		,	See Note 1 belo	ow.	
		3				
Name of manufacturer		,	See Note 1 belo	ow .		
Resin to glass ratio (by weight)						
Percentage glass reinforcement (by weight)						
Curing process (duration and temperature)						
Brief description of manufacturing process						
	c type of manufact c gravity/de retardant de c type et reference er of layers per unit are uration cement of manufact veight) cement (by and tempe	c type of manufacturer c gravity/density retardant details c type et reference er of layers per unit area of each uration of cement of manufacturer veight) cement (by weight) and temperature)	c type of manufacturer c gravity/density retardant details c type et reference er of layers per unit area of each layer uration of glass cement of manufacturer veight) cement (by weight) and temperature)	Millboard  "Golden Oal  32mm (state 32.42mm  Warrington  16.76kg/m²  Warrington  tt reference c type	"Golden Oak" 32mm (stated by sponsor) 32.42mm (determined Warringtonfire) 16.76kg/m² (determined Warringtonfire)  tt reference c type Polyurethane of manufacturer c gravity/density retardant details c type set reference et reference see Note 1 below treference see Note 1 below treference ser of layers per unit area of each layer uration of glass see Note 1 below treference	Millboard  "Golden Oak"  32mm (stated by sponsor) 32.42mm (determined by Warringtonfire)  16.76kg/m² (determined by Warringtonfire)  tt reference  See Note 1 below  c type  Polyurethane of manufacturer  See Note 1 below  retardant details  See Note 1 below  c type  See Note 1 below  retardant details  See Note 1 below  c type  See Note 1 below  c type  See Note 1 below  c treference  See Note 1 below  c treference  See Note 1 below  c treference  See Note 1 below  c for layers  See Note 1 below  certardion of glass  See Note 1 below  certardion of glass

### Note 1: The sponsor was unwilling to provide this information.

The description of the specimens as given above is not as detailed as would usually be the case for descriptions included in **Exova Warringtonfire** test reports and the description may not fully comply with the requirements of the test standard. In all other respects however the tests were conducted fully in accordance with the requirements of the test standard and the test results are valid.

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 5 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company







### **Test Results**

# Number of specimens tested

Six specimens were tested, each of which were subjected to surface exposure to flame with the decorative face exposed.

Six specimens were tested, each of which were subjected to edge exposure to flame with the decorative face exposed.

# Applicability of test results

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a product under the particular conditions of the test, they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Tables 1 and 2.

On the set of six specimens which were subject to surface application, the maximum flame height reached was observed to be  $0 \pm 0.9$ mm.

On the set of six specimens which were subject to edge application, the maximum flame height reached was observed to be  $60 \pm 0.9$ mm

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

#### Validity

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 6 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2

Client: The Millboard Company

Limited

Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016
Issue No.: 1





Table 1

### **Test Flame Application Position - Surface Of The Decorative Face**

Specimen No.	Ignition Yes/No	Time from start of test for flame tip to reach 150mm (seconds)	Extent of Flame Spread (± 0.9 mm)	Flaming Debris	Glowing	Damag	ent of ed Area m)
		, ,				Height	Width
1	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	8	8
2	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	18	10
3	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	8	8
4	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	22	12
5	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	30	12
6	No	Did not reach	0	None	None	26	10

Table 2

<u>Test Flame Application Position - Edge Of The Decorative Face</u>

Specimen No.	Ignition Yes/No	Time from start of test for flame tip to reach 150mm (seconds)	Extent of Flame Spread (± 0.9 mm)	Spread Debris		Extent of Damaged Area (mm)	
						Height	Width
1	Yes	Did not reach	50	None	None	25	23
2	Yes	Did not reach	40	None	None	27	25
3	Yes	Did not reach	40	None	None	21	27
4	Yes	Did not reach	40	None	None	24	22
5	Yes	Did not reach	40	None	None	24	24
6	Yes	Did not reach	60	None	None	30	32

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 7 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 201

Issue No.:

Client: The Millboard Company

Limited

31<sup>st</sup> October 2016





## **Revision History**

Issue No :	Re-issue Date :
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No :	Re-issue Date :
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Document No.: 371411 Page No.: 8 of 8

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Issue No.:

1


Client: The Millboard Company



Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom T:+44 (0 1925 655116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com



# BS EN ISO 9239-1: 2010



Fire Tests For Determination Of The Burning Behaviour of Floorings
Part 1: Determination Of The Burning Behaviour
Using A Radiant Heat Source

A Report To: The Millboard Company Limited

Document Reference: 371410

Date: 31st October2016

Issue No.: 1

Page 1







## **Executive Summary**

**Objective** 

To determine the performance of the following product when tested in accordance with BS EN ISO 9239-1: 2010

Generic Description	Product reference Thickness		Weight per unit area or density			
Resin moulded board	"MDE176G"	32mm	16.76kg/m <sup>2*</sup>			
Individual components used to manufacture composite:						
Resin	Unwilling to provide	Unwilling to provide	Unwilling to provide			
Glass reinforcement Unwilling to provide Unwilling to provide Unwilling to provide						
*determined by Exova Warringtonfire						
Please see page 6 of this test report for the full description of the product tested						

Test Sponsor The Millboard Company Limited, Ryton Lodge, Oxford Road, Ryton on Dunsmore,

CV8 3EJ

Test Results: Orientation of test specimens : 90° to production direction

Average critical radiant flux = 9.97kW/m<sup>2</sup> Average smoke development = 148.35% min

Date of Test 6<sup>th</sup> October 2016

## **Signatories**

Responsible Officer

C Jacques\*

**Technical Officer** 

Approved

T. Mort \*
Senior Technical Officer

1

\* For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.

Authorised

S. Deeming \*

**Business Unit Head** 

Report Issued: 31st October 2016

This version of the report has been produced from a .pdf format electronic file that has been provided by Exova Warringtonfire to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of Exova Warringtonfire.

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 2 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company Issue No.:

Limited

UKAS TESTING



CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES	2
TEST DETAILS	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	6
TEST RESULTS	7
TABLE 1	8
REVISION HISTORY	9

371410 3 of 9 Document No.: Page No.:

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

1

The Millboard Company Limited Client: Issue No.:





### **Test Details**

#### **Purpose of test**

To determine the performance of specimens of a product when they are subjected to the conditions of the test procedure defined in the document BS EN ISO 9239-1:2010 - Reaction To Fire Tests For Floorings – Part 1: Determination Of The Burning Behaviour Using A Radiant Heat Source.

The test was performed in accordance with the procedure defined in BS EN ISO 9239-1:2010 and this report should be read in conjunction with that Standard.

#### Scope of test

BS EN ISO 9239-1:2010 describes a European test procedure for assessing the burning behaviour, spread of flame and smoke development of horizontally mounted floorcovering systems exposed to a radiant heat gradient in a test chamber, when ignited with a pilot flame.

The measurements provide a basis for estimating one aspect of fire exposure behaviour of floor covering systems. The imposed radiant flux simulates the thermal radiation levels likely to impinge on the floors of a building whose upper surfaces are heated by flames or hot gases or both, from a fire in an adjacent room or compartment.

This method is applicable to all types of floorcoverings such as textile carpet, cork, wood, rubber and plastic coverings as well as coatings. Results obtained by this method reflect the performance of the total floor covering system as tested. Modifications of the backing, bonding to a substrate, underlay, or other changes to the system may affect the test results.

The test is intended for regulatory purposes, specification acceptance, design purposes, classification, or development and research.

# Fire test study group/EGOLF

Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and has agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.

#### Instruction to test

The test was conducted on the 6<sup>th</sup> October 2016 at the request of The Millboard Company Limited, the sponsor of the test.

#### **Test laboratory**

The test was subcontracted to Exova Warringtonfire Gent, who hold ISO 17025 accreditation for this test method.

# Provision of test specimens

The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. **Exova Warringtonfire** was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

# Conditioning of specimens

The specimens were received on the 1<sup>st</sup> September 2016. Prior to test the specimens were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of  $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and a relative humidity of  $50 \pm 5\%$ .

1

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 4 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Issue No.:

Client: The Millboard Company





Number of specimens tested

A total of four specimens were tested. Initial tests were carried out on one specimen in the production direction and one specimen in a direction perpendicular to that direction to establish the worse case condition. The results of these tests indicated that the worse case was with the specimens in a direction perpendicular to the production direction and the formal test was then completed with the specimens in that direction.

**Exposed face** 

The decorative face of the specimens was exposed to the radiant heat of the test when the specimens were mounted in the test position.

**Substrate** 

The specimens were tested with an 8mm thick fibre cement board substrate (as specified in EN 13238: 2010) present.

5 of 9 Document No.: 371410 Page No.:

Author: 31st October 2016 T. Mort Issue Date:

Issue No.:

1

The Millboard Company

Limited

Client:





## **Description of Test Specimens**

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

Resin moulded board
"MDE176G"
Millboard
"Golden Oak"
32mm (stated by sponsor)
32.42mm (determined by <b>Exova</b>
Warringtonfire)
16.76kg/m <sup>2</sup> (determined by <b>Exova</b>
Warringtonfire)
See Note 1 below
Polyurethane
See Note 1 below
See Note 1 below
\ 3 V 1 V

### Note 1: The sponsor was unwilling to provide this information.

The description of the specimens as given above is not as detailed as would usually be the case for descriptions included in **Exova Warringtonfire** test reports and the description may not fully comply with the requirements of the test standard. In all other respects however the tests were conducted fully in accordance with the requirements of the test standard and the test results are valid.

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 6 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company Is

Limited

Issue No.: 1





### **Test Results**

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

The distance between the flame front and the zero point at 10 minute intervals together with the observations recorded during the tests in respect of each specimen tested, are given in Table 1.

In accordance with the procedure defined in BS EN ISO 9239-1:2010: the following average results were obtained for the specimens cut at  $90^{\circ}$  to the production direction ( $\rightarrow$ ):

Average maximum flame front distance = 17cm

Average critical radiant flux =  $9.97 \text{kW/m}^2$ 

Average smoke development = 148.35% min

### **Validity**

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

1

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 7 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company Issue No.:





Table 1

SPECIMEN NO.	1	2	3	4
Orientation (Production direction (↑) or	ļ.		3	<del>4</del>
90° to production direction (→))	1	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$
	TIME TO	TO TRAVEL TO INDICATED DISTANCE		
DISTANCE (cm)	(seconds)			
5	234	213	234	204
10	291	288	705	354
15	411	345		783
20		393		
25		450		
30				
35				
40				
45				
50				
55				
60				
65				
70				
75				
80				
85				
90				
95				
100				
Maximum flame front distance (cm)	15	25	12	15
Critical radiant flux (kW/m²)	10.40	8.73	10.79	10.40
Smoke Development (%.min)	136.96	221.90	69.90	153.25

Specimen Number	1	2	3	4
Flame front distance at 10 min (cm)	15	25	5	10
Flame front distance at 20 min (cm)	15	25	12	15
Flame front distance at 30 min (cm)	15	25	12	15
Radiant flux at 10 minutes, Rf <sub>10</sub> (kW/m <sup>2</sup> )	10.40	8.73	≥11.0	10.72
Radiant flux at 20 minutes, Rf <sub>20</sub> (kW/m <sup>2</sup> )	10.40	8.73	10.79	10.40
Radiant flux at 30 minutes, Rf <sub>30</sub> (kW/m <sup>2</sup> )	10.40	8.73	10.79	10.40

1

## Observations of the burning characteristics of the specimens during the testing exposure

None

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 8 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016

Client: The Millboard Company Issue No.:





## **Revision History**

Issue No :	Re-issue Date :
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No :	Re-issue Date :
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Document No.: 371410 Page No.: 9 of 9

Author: T. Mort Issue Date: 31st October 2016

1

Client: The Millboard Company Issue No.:

