



2023 CCPM

Results

Responses:

12




Region	Country	Response Count	Service Delivery	Decision Making	Strategy	Monitoring & Evaluation	Contingency Planning	Advocacy	AAP
Africa	Mozambique	6	73.33	70.00	60.00	50.00	46.67	53.33	63.33
Africa	DRC	17	72.94	81.18	81.18	68.24	68.24	76.47	68.24
Africa	Burkina_Faso	26	77.69	76.15	82.31	75.38	69.23	70.77	70.00
Africa	Sudan	12	78.33	83.33	85.00	76.67	73.33	76.67	71.67
Africa	Cameroon	25	80.00	75.20	71.20	77.60	76.00	77.60	74.40
Africa	CAR	10	74.00	86.00	74.00	72.00	58.00	62.00	76.00
Africa	Mali	12	86.67	81.67	85.00	75.00	61.67	68.33	76.67
Africa	Niger	24	81.67	86.67	86.67	81.67	74.17	72.50	76.67
Africa	Nigeria	9	86.67	86.67	77.78	71.11	86.67	66.67	86.67
Africa	South_Sudan	39	87.18	86.67	89.74	83.08	78.97	84.62	86.67
Africa	Somalia	21	87.62	93.33	88.57	83.81	82.86	86.67	88.57
Americas	Colombia	4	80.00	70.00	75.00	65.00	50.00	75.00	50.00
Americas	Honduras	5	68.00	84.00	64.00	56.00	48.00	72.00	52.00
Americas	Haiti	3	73.33	80.00	80.00	66.67	60.00	60.00	60.00
Americas	Venezuela	24	85.83	80.83	85.83	86.67	80.00	76.67	83.33
Asia-Pacific	Afghanistan	40	78.00	76.00	77.00	75.00	63.00	69.50	76.00
Asia-Pacific	Myanmar	29	80.00	75.17	72.41	77.93	68.97	66.90	78.62
MENA	Yemen	31	79.35	81.29	85.81	83.23	77.42	72.90	73.55
MENA	Syria_Gaziantep	21	86.67	88.57	86.67	80.00	77.14	82.86	79.05
MENA	oPt	22	86.36	92.73	88.18	80.00	78.18	74.55	80.00
MENA	Syria_WoS	1	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	40.00	60.00	80.00


78	83.33	85.00	76.67	73.33	76.67	71.67
Satisfactory Service Delivery	Strong Decision Making	Strong Cluster Strategy	Satisfactory M & E	Satisfactory Contingency Planning	Satisfactory Advocacy	Satisfactory AAP

Year: 2023 | Region: All | Country Cluster: Sudan | Response Type: All | Crisis Type: All | Respondent Profile: All | National/Subnational: All | Organisation Type: All


Supporting service delivery by providing a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities and developing mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery




Informing HC/HCT strategic decision-making; through needs assessment and gap analysis, the analysis to identify and address emerging obstacles, duplication, etc. and prioritization, grounded in response analysis




Planning and implementing cluster strategies by developing sectoral plans in line with the HC/HCT strategic priorities; applying existing standards and clarifying funding requirements and prioritization for the HC's overall considerations




Monitoring and evaluating performance the implementation of the cluster strategy and results; recommending corrective action where necessary




Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning in situations where there are recurring disasters and where sufficient capacity exists within the cluster



Supporting robust advocacy; through the identification of advocacy concerns to contribute to HC and HCT messaging and undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and the affected population



Promoting accountability to affected populations via the commitments and mechanisms to ensure that communities are meaningfully and continuously involved in decisions that directly affect their lives



1. Supporting Service Delivery

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	unsatisfactory	There seems to be poor information at sub-cluster level on the needs and gaps at IDP locations specifically.
Donor	satisfactory	Need to map services such as warehousing, transportation services etc.
UN	satisfactory	To scale response
INGO	strong	Very good coordination and timely response to issues.
NNGO	satisfactory	Npnp
INGO	strong	Coordination at national level is strong, but at sub-national level our colleagues on the ground report issues with lack of coordination forums.
NNGO	strong	Weekly cluster meeting is conducted and and partner performance is in place
INGO	weak	Focusing only on old partners who have no capacity to intervene in such situation like the current conflict in Sudan
UN	satisfactory	The coordination is going well, biweekly meetings, coordination tools and updates are produced regularly.
NNGO	unsatisfactory	Weak coordination, weak knowledge on organizing the distribution side
NNGO	unsatisfactory	I have attended some distributions of NFIs but the distribution areas was not properly organized, waiting areas are crowded, some beneficiaries reported the basket was not completed (missing some items) the IP who implement the distribution needs to be fully trained and aware of the responsibilities. M&R is required to for every distribution. Many of the beneficiaries served were seen moving out from the hosted locations back to their residence as they are not guanine. Aprper assessment and verification is essential to identify the real needs.
INGO	strong	The cluster has maintained the coordination including through quite challenging times following the increased violence and displacement of many national colleagues / evacuation of internationals. The SC is agile in terms of strategic planning and has a strong technical coordination TWIG that has been productive, collaborative and keeps on working as the needs are there.

2. Informing Decision Making

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	unsatisfactory	Again, the sub-cluster seems not able to provide information on the specific gaps and needs.
Donor	satisfactory	Is ok, more INGOs to be included in the future
UN	strong	Gap analysis Through country operation planning
INGO	satisfactory	Needs to improve on need assessment
NNGO	strong	Np
INGO	strong	Strong focus on evidence, clear explanation of methodologies and high focus on consultations with partners makes strategic decision making centered in reality.
NNGO	unsatisfactory	A needs assessments are happening analyzing the gaps always the respond fund is very week and need additional fund.
INGO	satisfactory	The cluster could not manage to support lopping with donors to get fund for the partners
UN	satisfactory	The coordination team needs support in regard of IM and more building capacity.
NNGO	satisfactory	so far working ok
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	satisfactory	The minor improvement perhaps is to manage advancing simultaneously separate aspects of the strategy -- and being able to modify and adapt to the changing context.

3. Cluster Strategy

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	satisfactory	Providing details of kits and specifications and updates.
Donor	satisfactory	Early warning analysis and risks needs more discussion
UN	satisfactory	Involving country team
INGO	strong	Very participative
NNGO	satisfactory	Np
INGO	satisfactory	Planning is strong, but implementing can be challenging in such a resourceless environment where there is a lot of competition between partners.
NNGO	satisfactory	Yes the sector is developing the plan every year
INGO	unsatisfactory	Only focusing on some states with ease access
UN	strong	Many products show the fact that is planning is going well by the coordination team such as guidelines, technical support, maps, discussion, workshops, documents, ...
NNGO	satisfactory	Ok to some extend
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	strong	Need to help tailor guidance and good practices that cover several modalities simultaneously.

4. Monitoring & Evaluation

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	strong	Very good on data analysis.
Donor	satisfactory	Process and quality check to be considered
UN	satisfactory	Involve 3d party
INGO	unsatisfactory	No monitoring system in place
NNGO	strong	Np
INGO	strong	Tools are in place to both monitor coordination performance as well as to evaluate impact and based on that adapt the response if necessary.
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	weak	They just receiving photos & monitoring reports from the partners without physical monitoring visits during and after the distribution of NFIs items.
UN	satisfactory	it needs some more attention and focus to make sure all partners are considering PDM and other M&E requirements
NNGO	unsatisfactory	This part of the ecercies is very important to measure the impact
NNGO	weak	Based on service provision some issues were observed needs to be addressed
INGO	satisfactory	Go beyond collecting data, we need to analyse to advocate, to learn and integrate the findings.

5. Contingency Planning

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	DNK	I have not been attending the meetings long enough to have an opinion.
Donor	satisfactory	Needs minor improvement on using cash for NFIs/ES
UN	strong	Good
INGO	unsatisfactory	More training needed
NNGO	satisfactory	Npnp
INGO	satisfactory	The cluster focuses a lot on localization, but preparedness and contingency planning is difficult amidst all the challenges with access and general uncertainty in Sudan.
NNGO	strong	we need to ensure the localization on the cluster sector
INGO	strong	Coordination meetings, minutes reporting and sometimes training packages
UN	unsatisfactory	Due to many constraints, the building capacity and contingency planning needs to be improved
NNGO	unsatisfactory	Capacity building of national partners is quite important
NNGO	satisfactory	Needs to be improved
INGO	unsatisfactory	On the positive, the TWIG coordinator is a national colleague and is supported to grow in the role. As area of improvement, the cluster could provide more technical support and facilitate more technical exchange by identifying technical capacity on the ground.

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	strong	Know what they are advocating for and understand the methodologies.
Donor	satisfactory	Needs more integration with other sectors linked to NFIs/ES
UN	unsatisfactory	Information sharing
INGO	strong	OK
NNGO	unsatisfactory	Npnp
INGO	satisfactory	The cluster has put in place various tools to demonstrate to donors that partners are capable of implementing post April 15, but it would be good if the gap could be presented too, and not only achievements, even though that is very difficult because the number of affected people changes by the week including their locations as people are on the move.
NNGO	satisfactory	NA
INGO	DNK	I have no lead
UN	satisfactory	the coordination team keeps sending a very strong and clear messages on the needs, gaps and with updated partners mapping.
NNGO	satisfactory	satisfied to some extend
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	satisfactory	Can do more regarding HLP. On the positive, the effort to integrate the updates from the Social Media monitoring have been quite interesting (unsure to what extent these are being useful).

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	DNK	Not aware yet
Donor	satisfactory	CFM to be well coordinated among partners
UN	unsatisfactory	Involve beneficiaries
INGO	strong	Excellent
NNGO	satisfactory	Npnp
INGO	satisfactory	The cluster tends to promote partner projects high in community based components and highlights this in strategies. There is a need to improve CFMs.
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	unsatisfactory	Having enough stocks in areas where already affected by conflicts.
UN	satisfactory	the coordination team keeps sending a very strong and clear messages on the needs, gaps and with updated partners mapping.
NNGO	satisfactory	Needs improvements to promote accountability
NNGO	unsatisfactory	Needs improvement
INGO	unsatisfactory	Given the challenges to access the affected population at the moment, the SC could review the input collected from previous evaluations and analysis to provide some guidance. Not sure I recall there has been an exchange regarding referrals or that members of other clusters come to shelter coordination or vice versa.

Organisation Type	Comment
INGO	More of a detailed matrix at the sub-cluster level on gaps/need would be so much more appreciated and helpful.
Donor	Improvement the sector synergy with other sectors (using NFIs/ES as modality)
UN	Fund raising board from different partners
INGO	No
NNGO	Npnp
INGO	Just to thank the cluster for their efforts and leadership!
NNGO	The call for proposal for new national partners is very weak and improvement
INGO	To don't focus on certain partners for long years without encouraging and supporting the active ones in the clusters.
UN	NA
NNGO	No comments
NNGO	No
INGO	Worth to mention the graphic skills of the colleague(s) that made every cover (to make sure these are recognized) and the overall clear and systematic communication.

Organisation Type	Frequency	Content	Agenda
INGO	satisfied	satisfied	Key gaps and needs.
Donor	neutral	neutral	Coordination with other sectors, rapid response and early warning agenda
UN	satisfied	very_satisfied	No
INGO	satisfied	very_satisfied	Nothing to add
NNGO	satisfied	very_satisfied	Np
INGO	very_satisfied	very_satisfied	
NNGO	very_satisfied	very_satisfied	NA
INGO	unsatisfied_toofrequent	very_satisfied	The cluster should work closely with the donors to reach the prioterized areas in the HRP
UN	satisfied	very_satisfied	NA
NNGO	satisfied	very_satisfied	
NNGO	satisfied	satisfied	
INGO	satisfied	very_satisfied	

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	satisfied	
Donor	neutral	
UN	satisfied	No
INGO	very_satisfied	Nothing to add
NNGO	very_satisfied	Np
INGO	very_satisfied	
NNGO	very_satisfied	NA
INGO	satisfied	To keep all partners updated on how to communicate with the donors easily.
UN	satisfied	NA
NNGO	very_satisfied	Cluster lead is sharing all info related to activities
NNGO	satisfied	
INGO	satisfied	Only aspect to improve is the time that it takes to get some information. Whilst aiming for quality and I guess a second person to check or proofread the communications (??) it would be good to find a balance as sometimes the info exchange is needed a bit faster.

Organisation Type	Reporting	HNO	HRP	Project Submission
INGO	na_notme			
Donor	neutral	satisfied	satisfied	dnk
UN	satisfied			
INGO	neutral			
NNGO	satisfied	very_satisfied	satisfied	na_nosubmission
INGO	neutral	very_satisfied	very_satisfied	criteria deadline questions instructions
NNGO	satisfied			
INGO	satisfied			
UN	neutral	satisfied	satisfied	criteria deadline instructions
NNGO	satisfied	satisfied	neutral	deadline
NNGO	neutral	very_satisfied	very_satisfied	na_nosubmission
INGO	neutral	neutral	neutral	na_nosubmission

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment	Knowledge Sharing
INGO	neutral	tech_specs nfi	dnk
Donor	neutral	tech_specs nfi	yes
UN	satisfied	hlp	dnk
INGO	very_satisfied	tech_specs	yes
NNGO	satisfied	tech_specs	yes
INGO	very_satisfied	hlp	yes
NNGO	neutral	nfi	yes
INGO	satisfied	tech_specs	no
UN	neutral	tech_specs hlp	yes
NNGO	very_satisfied	nfi	yes
NNGO	satisfied	tech_specs nfi	no
INGO	very_unsatisfied	hlp	yes

Organisation Type	GBV Rating	GBV Comment	PSEA Rating	PSEA Comment
INGO	dnk	I have not been attending the meetings long enough to have an opinion.	dnk	I have not been attending the meetings long enough to have an opinion.
Donor	strong	well coordinated	neutral	Limited access to data and assessments
UN	strong	No	very_strong	Good
INGO	neutral	Not much is talked about	very_strong	Always discussed
NNGO	strong	Npnp	neutral	Np
INGO	very_strong	It is one of the rare clusters that mainstreams GBV risks in strategies, response plans, and advocates actively in meetings for activities that directly reduce it.	neutral	I am not sure of any PSEA issues being reported hence I am not sure if they are being addressed or not.
NNGO	very_strong	NA	very_strong	NA
INGO	strong	They encourage partners to support the GBV cases during the distribution processes	strong	Organized several PSEA training workshop for the partners
UN	strong	NA	strong	NA
NNGO	strong	5*	neutral	OK
NNGO	strong	Ok to some extend	neutral	Ok some how
INGO	weak	The assistance provided seems to be limited by funds available capacity and access hindering a more meaningful integration of gender (addressing GBV).	neutral	It is an expectation that agencies are already addressing this

Organisation Type	Rating	Comment
INGO	dnk	I have not been attending the meetings long enough to have an opinion.
Donor	neutral	Still needs more guidance
UN	strong	Good
INGO	very_strong	Sensitivity on the use of some local materials for shelter
NNGO	neutral	Np
INGO	very_strong	Again environment components are mainstreamed in strategic discussions and in cluster meetings, however in the context of Sudan implementation is another story.
NNGO	strong	NA
INGO	strong	As usual trying to minimize using other alternatives
UN	strong	NA
NNGO	strong	is addressing the mainstreaming environment
NNGO	neutral	Neutral
INGO	neutral	The SC could help connect to people or agencies that have a strong understanding on local materials.

Organisation Type	Strengths	Improvements
INGO	Iva and her team are excellent at presenting data and explaining the methodologies behind the numbers.	Details on gaps/needs at sub-cluster level
Donor	Communication, leadership presence even during the conflict and flexibility to change	Complementarity with others, mapping of preparedness (prepositioning, stocks, assessments)
UN	Coordinations	Fund raising capacity building for partners
INGO	Coordination, TWIG, Information sharing	PLANNING
NNGO	Np	Np
INGO	Strong national coordination.	Sub-national coordination.
NNGO	NA	NA
INGO	Requesting 5Ws and coordination meetings	Build the capacity of the new partners, encourage and distribute the opportunities among all in transparency way,
UN	Regular cluster meeting and sharing information	Shelter design of different shelter types and phases
NNGO	Coordination with Ben, communication prior for starting the activities	Info sharing, capacity building of partners
NNGO	Coordination with all cluster IPs	Capacity building for cluster partners, and to expand to opportunities to include other cluster IP
INGO	We have a strong coordinator (Iva) and the agencies are sharing what they are producing for the use of everyone. There is a strong sense of collaboration (not competition as it is in other cases).	Ensure that the lessons learned today, the tools developed and the experience is passed on (not for this cluster in particular but across countries it can often feel we are starting from zero over and over). Thank you very much for all the work!