


73	70.00	60.00	50.00	46.67	53.33	63.33
Satisfactory Service Delivery	Satisfactory Decision Making	Satisfactory Cluster Strategy	Unsatisfactory M & E	Unsatisfactory Contingency Planning	Unsatisfactory Advocacy	Satisfactory AAP

Year: 2023 | Region: All | Country Cluster: Mozambique | Response Type: All | Crisis Type: All | Respondent Profile: All | National/Subnational: All | Organisation Type: All


Supporting service delivery by providing a platform to ensure that service delivery is driven by the agreed strategic priorities and developing mechanisms to eliminate duplication of service delivery




Informing HC/HCT strategic decision-making: through needs assessment and gap analysis, the analysis to identify and address emerging obstacles, duplication, etc. and prioritization, grounded in response analysis




Planning and implementing cluster strategies by developing sectoral plans in line with the HC/HCT strategic priorities; applying existing standards and clarifying funding requirements and prioritization for the HC's overall considerations




Monitoring and evaluating performance the implementation of the cluster strategy and results; recommending corrective action where necessary



Building national capacity in preparedness and contingency planning in situations where there are recurring disasters and where sufficient capacity exists within the cluster



Supporting robust advocacy; through the identification of advocacy concerns to contribute to HC and HCT messaging and undertaking advocacy activities on behalf of cluster participants and the affected population




Promoting accountability to affected populations via the commitments and mechanisms to ensure that communities are meaningfully and continuously involved in decisions that directly affect their lives




Information sharing: With the intention to identify potential gaps in the information sharing dynamics established in the cluster, partners are asked in this point about the level of satisfaction with the information received from the SC and offered the possibility to suggest new products.




Reporting process: The idea in this point is to assess the level of satisfaction with the reporting process between partners and the SC and how efficient they find it, particularly regarding 3/4/5W matrix, Activityinfo and other means agreed in the cluster for updating the progress of the response




Technical Working Groups (TWiG): play a key role to support the service delivery (CF1) and to plan and to implement the cluster strategies (CF2). This question aims to understand the level of satisfaction with current coverage of technical issues by the Cluster in each country and to identify the potential need to address specific topics with additional TWiGs




Knowledge exchanging: As a platform for coordination and exchange, the clusters offer a great opportunity for sharing and creating collective knowledge adapted to each context. In this point, the intention is to know if the SC is providing sufficient space to partners for this knowledge exchange, such as lessons learned, best practices, case study presentations, and others



GBV mainstreaming: The mainstreaming of crosscutting issues at sectoral level presents many times some challenges and can entail many absences. In this question the focus is on the perception of the performance of the cluster in GBV mainstreaming and the suggestion of missing aspects or possibilities for improvement



Environment mainstreaming: Environment considerations and greening the shelter and NFI response is a priority topic for the sector. Following the same approach as the previous point, this question aims to evaluate the partner's perception of the performance of the cluster in environment mainstreaming and the suggestion of missing aspects or possibilities for improvement



Project submission transparency: One important role the cluster play regarding the CF3 (Planning and implementing cluster strategies) is the facilitation of the project submission process for an appeal or a response plan. The aim of this question is to assess the level satisfaction of the partners when it comes to the general organization of the process itself and particularly the transparency in it and the suggestion of ways to improve it



PSEA: This question seeks to assess the degree of partners' satisfaction with the performance of the SC in Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and recommendations for improvement.

