


Summary



Cards

1 - INTRODUCTIONS & NETWORKING (FEEDBACK)

- People are pretty cool**
👍 15 💬 0
- Nice start of the workshop**
👍 22 💬 0
- Great networking exercises**
👍 5 💬 0
- Great in doing this to commence with the activity**
👍 1 💬 0
- Very nice, a bit long, can be done in groups of 3**
👍 0 💬 0
- Great way to get a start conversations. Energized for more sharing of experience**
👍 1 💬 0
- Positive start**
👍 0 💬 0
- Good start to week long engagement. Well done**
👍 0 💬 0
- Very interesting**
👍 3 💬 0

Beautiful people -shelter people

 4  0

Great exercise. I loved meeting some colleagues from other responses

 11  2

Hope to continue such to interact and learn from others

Likewise

It was a great exercise

 1  0

 2  0

Amazing ways

 1  0

Lovely seeing old colleagues and new faces

 6  0

Innovative networking model - wish we had more time

 1  0

Good way to meet other people

 2  0

Fun introduction activity

 0  0

Good speed date. Need more structure on discussions or reduced time

 2  0

Nice

 7  0

It was great!!

 7  1

Great

Nice

 3  0

Nice and fun

 5  0

Amazing

 6  0

Very interesting

 5  0

Amazing

 5  0

Nice to break the ice!

 9  0

Loved it! Happy to learn more about more people !

 10  0

Strategic, could be longer

 2  0

Nice activity! But at we end we repeat the conversations a little bit

 6  0

Very instructive

 1  0

Good way to know many people in short time

 0  0

i really appreciated the agenda of the 1st day

 0  0

 2 - CCPM RESULTS ANALYSIS (FEEDBACK)

Not breaking down up to area of crisis but only ip to national Level

👍 0 💬 0

No disaggregation up to area of crisis

👍 2 💬 1

Overall only 26 answers provided, so the number of answers is very limited to be able to provide that level of analysis.

It is a unique exercise to analyse cluster performance over the year which is followed by partners' feedback and suggestion for improvements which further guide our cluster action plan

👍 1 💬 1

Excellent

To correlate further data on the context wether it is conflict or natural disaster response, and how many occurring per cycle

👍 0 💬 0

To have a better reflection country wide, I think it would be good to request OCHA at national level to analyze together with all clusters CCPM results at country level

👍 2 💬 0

3 - SATISFACTION SURVEY (FEEDBACK)

Strongly agree

👍 2 💬 0

Funding

👍 1 💬 0

Climate action

👍 1 💬 0

Capacity building

👍 1 💬 0

It is very important that cluster teams not only share the survey with their partners, but that they themselves, you and your team members respond to the survey when it comes. Noting that today there are 3 times as many cluster team members in the room than people responded to the survey from cluster coordination team members.

👍 1 💬 0

Data

 1  0

A bit of consultations with country clusters, on new approach, new initiatives. It is good to invest in new "trends" bt ensure that the basic fundamentals for in country clusters do not fall behind

 0  0

Agreed with the findings

 0  0

4 - LOCALISATION (FEEDBACK)

Considering the ABA Approach and available coordination structures, it is a bit confusing and needs further explanation to clarify the ABA and more specifically it's implications on existing Shelter cluster coordination structures

 0  0

Considering the political situation in Afghanistan, where DFA has been under the sanctions, makes it challenging to adopt localisation approach, again it is confusing to have suitable environment for localisation.

 0  0

Do we have a set of benchmarks or indicators that we should be measuring?
Should we use specific benchmarks from the the interagency localization toolkit? Or the LPMF?

 0  0

How to mitigate risk especially in areas which have no access to international organizations (hence TPM) not possible in a convenient way) and political dynamics do not allow non local actors to operate in the area.

Additionally is there a capacity assessment outside of OCHAs?

Is there a template for this kind of engagement? In terms of capacity review and risk analysis

 0  0

Donors perspectives and strategy for effective operationalization of Localisation is key!

 0  1

We need to know donors perspectives

consider capacity development strategy as a key component of localization.

 0  0

Multi country contexts
Different understanding withhold for localisation

👍 0 💬 0

Smaller group discussions would have been more helpful to break down different contexts for localisation

👍 0 💬 0

5 - AREA BASED COORDINATION (FEEDBACK)

Goma

👍 0 💬 0

What is the role and position of HCT in ABC? I once saw a positive experience where the rat of the country wasn't in emergency however there was a AHT (area humanitarian team) in the affected region in place of HCT.

👍 0 💬 0

Area based coordination is not prone to be a double coordination within the cluster system?

👍 0 💬 0

ABC shouldn't be taken as the one size fits all approach. Considerations should be respected when there is an established coordination mechanism is in placed and functioning and that there is a form of governance running/in position

👍 0 💬 0

In a world where humanitarian funding is shrinking how practical is this approach for example in areas where sub national clusters exist

👍 1 💬 0

6 - DURABLE SOLUTIONS (FEEDBACK)

This session was a bit too led from the front but nevertheless helpful chats

👍 0 💬 0

8 - DONOR ENGAGEMENT & RESOURCES (FEEDBACK)

7 - CLIMATE ACTION & ENVIRONMENT (FEEDBACK)

Great session, please push us more!!!

👍 2 💬 0

Session prompted good discussions - could have been longer

👍 2 💬 0

Help us define the eventual limitations to act with regard of environment

 1  0

9 - ADVOCACY & COMMS (FEEDBACK)

With all the challenges we do have in our operations, we urgently need a advocacy strategy for the cluster

 1  0

Cluster coordination team should have monthly meetings with advocacy focal point.

 0  0

10 - IM (FEEDBACK)

11- INTRODUCTION TO SAG & DONORS (FEEDBACK)

12 - CLUSTER ACHIEVEMENTS (FEEDBACK)

13 - PROPOSED SOLUTIONS (FEEDBACK)

14 - ANY OTHER FEEDBACK OR COMMENT OR SUGGESTION

Chronic shelter responds to recurrent emergencies rather than addressing the root cause where possible.

These contribute to donor fatigue and reduce the synergies needed to address adequate shelter response. Exposure of the population to life-threatening risks including loss of lives.

For example flood response resources for years; where minimal resources would be required to address the root cause permanently either by the provision of Dykes, provision of canals,

Millions of dollars continue to be spent that inadequately provide shelter solutions? Under our watch invested resources continue to be destroyed.

Proposed Solution:

Linkage of durable solution (Development partners) to address the known root cause as a preparedness measure to any humanitarian responses.

Shelter Clusters to be funded to address a better proportion of root causes as a means of Preparedness to providing solution, rather than only firefighting through chronic emergency response.

 0  0