![ShelterCluster.org - Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter]

SHELTER CLUSTER INDICATOR GUIDELINES
ANNEX I: INDICATOR REVIEW

VERSION 1
SEPTEMBER 2012

GLOBAL SHELTER CLUSTER

ASSESSING SHELTER IMPACT WORKING GROUP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. OVERVIEW OF THIS DOCUMENT

1.1 EXPLANATION OF DOCUMENT STRUCTURE

The *Indicator Review* is produced as an Annex of, and should be read in conjunction with, the *Global Shelter Cluster's Indicator Guidelines*. It lists and provides guidance on a number of indicators that are relevant for shelter cluster stakeholders. In line with the Indicator Guidelines, indicators are grouped as **Core Indicators; Other Shelter Cluster Indicators; and Cross-Cutting Indicators**.

Within each chapter, each indicator is categorised by theme and sub-category associated with that theme. The indicators are presented in tables that cover primary information associated with the specific indicator to help the user select indicators as per their individual requirements. The table below provides an overview of the information presented in the tables.

Indicator n°	Indicator name			
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division		
Categorisation of indicator according to relevant theme	*Linkage to specific issue within theme*	*Options to render the indicator more specific to a given information need*		
Description				
Rationale	*Short narrative describing the information the indicator seeks to collect as well as the relevance of collecting data for such an indicator.*			
Comments	*Provides information regarding potential linkages to other clusters' data as well as recommendations and information of potential challenges and opportunities with regards to the measurement, analysis, and use of the indicator.*			
Indicator Measurement				
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

1.2 LIST OF INDICATORS

N°	THEME	NAME	BASELINE	PROCESS	EVALUATION	OUTPUT	OUTCOME
CORE INDICATORS							
1	Shelter	Number / % of population in need of shelter assistance	X	X	X		
2	Shelter	Number / % targeted households living in adequate shelters meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster	X	X	X	X	
3	Shelter	Number / % of households in need of shelter assistance receiving shelter support	X	X	X		
4	Shelter	Number / % of houses/dwellings damaged, destroyed or inaccessible	X				
5	Shelter	Number / % of houses/dwellings uninhabitable as a consequence of [event]	X	X	X		
6	NFI	Number / % of households in need of NFI assistance	X	X		X	
7	NFI	Number / % of targeted population receiving NFI kits		X	X	X	
8	Vulnerability	Number / % of families displaced from original home	X	X	X		
9	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number / % of population/settlements occupying hazardous land	X	X	X		X
10	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number / % of constructed/rehabilitated shelters incorporating hazard mitigation measures		X	X	X	
OTHER SHELTER CLUSTER INDICATORS							
11	Shelter	Number / % of population with a covered living area at least 3.5m^2 per person	X	X	X	X	
12	Shelter	Number / % of households in affected areas indicating shelter as a priority need	X	X	X		
13	Shelter	Average covered living area per person within the target population	X	X	X	X	
14	Shelter	Average plot area per person in camps for displaced	X	X	X	X	
15	Shelter	Number of affected households that started shelter reconstruction/rehabilitation independently of humanitarian aid/actors.	X	X			X
16	Shelter	Number / % of affected families supported with shelter solutions		X	X	X	
17	Shelter	Number / % of shelters repaired		X	X	X	
18	Shelter	Number / % of host families supported with shelter solutions		X	X	X	
19	Shelter	Number / % of households in need of shelter assistance receiving shelter grants		X	X	X	
20	Shelter	% of identified shelter beneficiaries who have not received any shelter assistance to date	X	X	X	X	
21	Shelter	Number of shelter toolkits provided		X	X	X	
22	Shelter	Number / % of shelter grants used entirely for shelter purposes by the beneficiary household		X	X		X
23	Shelter	Number / % of distributed shelter toolkits being used by the beneficiary households		X	X		X
24	Shelter	Number / % of beneficiary households satisfied/unsatisfied with shelter solutions they received		X	X		X
25	Shelter	Number / % of shelter beneficiary households with Acute Respiratory Infection (ARI)		X	X		X

N°	THEME	NAME	BASELINE	PROCESS	EVALUATION	OUTPUT	OUTCOME
26	NFI	Total Number of NFIs distributed		X		X	
27	NFI	Number / % of distributed NFIs being used/sold by beneficiary households		X	X		X
28	NFI	Number / % of population targeted for NFI assistance who have not received any NFI assistance to date		X	X	X	
29	NFI	Number / % of beneficiary households satisfied/unsatisfied with NFIs they received		X	X		X
30	NFI	Number / % of targeted households with access to firewood	X	X	X		X
31	NFI	Number / % of targeted households with access to electricity	X	X	X		X
32	NFI	Number / % of targeted households with access to gas	X	X	X		X
33	NFI	Number / % of targeted households that can meet their daily need for cooking/heating fuel	X	X	X		X
34	NFI	Average daily expenditure of a household on fuel for heating	X	X	X		X
35	NFI	Average number of days per month distributed fuel lasts at households	X	X	X		X
36	Vulnerability	Number / % of highly vulnerable families/individuals as defined by the humanitarian community	X	X	X		X
37	Vulnerability	Number / % of highly vulnerable affected families as defined by the humanitarian community given shelter assistance	X	X	X	X	
38	Vulnerability	Number / % of displaced households living in adequate shelters meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster	X	X	X	X	
39	Vulnerability	Number / % of displaced persons returning to their shelter of origin		X	X		X
40	Vulnerability	Number / % of non-displaced or returning affected households with adequate shelter meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster		X	X	X	
41	Vulnerability	Number / % of affected households able to return and reconstruct an adequate shelter meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster		X	X		X
42	Housing Land and Property	Number / % of forced evictions/property confiscations among target population		X	X		X
43	Housing Land and Property	Number / % of target population affected by land disputes	X	X	X		X
44	Housing Land and Property	Number / % of landless households/people due to the [event]	X	X	X		
45	Housing Land and Property	Number of households provided with legal advice on HLP issues		X	X	X	
46	Housing Land and Property	Number of legal/policy reforms to promote equitable land access	X	X	X		X
47	Housing Land and Property	Number / % of highly vulnerable families as defined by the humanitarian community, given access to land		X	X		
48	Housing Land and Property	Number/ % of landless population benefiting from programmes aimed at durable land resettlement solutions	X	X	X	X	

N°	THEME	NAME	BASELINE	PROCESS	EVALUATION	OUTPUT	OUTCOME
49	Housing Land and Property	Number / % of affected individuals with access to land dispute resolution body (judicial or customary/informal)	X	X	X		X
50	Housing Land and Property	Number of land records restored/issued		X	X		X
51	Housing Land and Property	% of affected population with restored/issued land records		X	X		X
52	Housing Land and Property	Number of days/steps necessary for access to necessary landholding documents	X	X	X		X
53	Housing Land and Property	Number of trainings/information campaigns conducted on land access		X	X	X	
54	Housing Land and Property	% of affected population that has received information/training on land access procedures		X	X	X	
55	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number / % of self-constructed/rehabilitated shelters incorporating hazard mitigation measures		X	X		X
56	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number / % of shelter owners adopting hazard mitigation measures		X	X		X
57	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number / % of people reporting improved shelter hazard mitigation knowledge [x] months after training		X	X		X
58	Disaster Risk Reduction	Number of information campaigns conducted aimed at informing target groups about more durable land management practices		X	X	X	
59	Disaster Risk Reduction	% of target group/population reached by information campaigns on more durable land management practices		X	X	X	
60	Environment	Number / % of shelters constructed from sustainable/renewable supply sources		X	X	X	
61	Environment	Number / % of shelter owners using sustainable/renewable materials for their rehabilitation/reconstruction activities		X	X		X
CROSS-CUTTING INDICATORS							
62	WaSH	Number / % of affected households with access to safe drinking water	X	X	X	X	
63	WaSH	Average distance from a water source/facility	X	X	X	X	
64	WaSH	Number / % of shelters with individual sanitation facilities	X	X	X	X	
65	WaSH	Number / % of shelters with shared sanitation facilities	X	X	X	X	
66	WaSH	Average distance between shelter and communal sanitation facilities	X	X	X	X	
67	Vulnerability	Total number of people affected	X	X	X		
68	Vulnerability	% of affected households under the poverty line after the [event]	X		X		X
69	Vulnerability	Average monthly income pre and/or post [event] within target population	X		X		X
70	Vulnerability	% of target families able to independently manage their daily needs	X		X		X
71	Vulnerability	Number / % of shelters with presence of UXOs/ERW	X	X	X	X	

2. PRESENTATION OF INDICATORS

2.1 CORE INDICATORS

2.1.1   *Shelter; Access to Shelter*

n° 1	Number / % of population in need of shelter assistance		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Settlement type, Shelter solution	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator profiles potential target groups. Disaggregating by settlement type or shelter solution can enable better profiling and enable the cluster to prioritise aid delivery.		
Comments	If disaggregating by settlement type ensure categories are defined according to specific context e.g.: Camps, informal site, etc.		
Indicator Typology			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐

n° 2	Number / % of targeted households living in adequate shelters meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Shelter solution (emergency, transitional, permanent)	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator provides information of how many households are living in adequate shelters, as defined by the cluster. According to the specificities of the context, it can provide the actual number of people benefitting from shelter assistance and therefore be used to monitor the impact of shelter humanitarian response.		
Comments	If disaggregating by shelter solution, shelter cluster stakeholders should agree on what should be considered as 'emergency' 'transitional' or 'permanent' shelter assistance, as well as any further sub-categorisation, as required.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

2.1.2   *Shelter; Shelter Assistance*

n° 3	Number / % of households in need of shelter assistance receiving shelter support		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Shelter solution (emergency, transitional, permanent)	
Description			
Rationale	Broad indicator useful for reporting progress at the cluster level. This indicator shows the overall number of targeted household beneficiaries who have received assistance through the shelter cluster.		
Comments	Shelter cluster stakeholders should agree on what should be considered as 'emergency' 'transitional' or 'permanent' shelter assistance, as well as any furtehr sub-categorisation, as required. In evaluation a specific action (e.g. emergency shelter assistance) the % value can be used as an impact indicator; if few household are using the emergency shelter materials provided it might be a warning about the shelter kits' relevance.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐

2.1.3   Shelter; Shelter Damage

n° 4	Number / % of houses/dwellings damaged, destroyed or inaccessible	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Damage	Shelter type; Settlement type; Damage category
Description		
Rationale	This indicator should breaks down damaged and/or destroyed shelter per type of construction and degree of damage. This information enables aid actors to understand which house categories are the most affected and to what extent they are damaged. It therefore can facilitate, if the sampling strategy allows it, the formulation of a response plan by the cluster and its members. Shelter assistance could thus be tailored according the type of targeted constructions and the degree of damage.	
Comments	Type of Building to be determined according to context; can include single storey, double storey, etc. Category of damage should be divided into (normally 3-4) levels that are easy to assess by non-technical enumerators. Where relevant, they should also be comparable to damage categories established by govt (although they can offer further sub-categorisation)	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process ☐			
	Evaluation ☐			

n° 5	Number / % of houses / dwellings uninhabitable as a consequence of [event]	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Damage	Shelter type; Settlement type; Cause of damage; Damage category
Description		
Rationale	This indicator shows the number and % of shelters inhabitable as a consequence of a specific event. It enables prioritisation of interventions towards affected households who can no longer reside in their shelter, as well as acting as a progress and evaluation indicator on how many affected housholds have returned to their original shelter.	
Comments	Subdividing the indicator can provide additional information on the which household categories were most affected or are better recovering from the disaster (in terms of shelter).	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

2.1.4   *NFI; NFI Assistance*

n° 6	Number / % of households in need of NFI assistance		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	Beneficiary status (displaced, host family, etc.); NFI category	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator supports planning and prioritisation accoridng to affected demographic groups or geographic areas.		
Comments	Clear definition of category of NFI assistance required according to specific context would provide significant benefit in planning stages.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐ Output ☑

n° 7	Number / % of targeted population receiving NFI kits		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	Beneficiary status (displaced, host family, etc.); NFI category	
Description			
Rationale	The indicator provides an overview of implementation coverage and gap analysis.		
Comments	Data for analysis of this indicator can be based on NFI distribution information provided by cluster actors – provided a clear definition of NFI 'kits' is approved at a cluster level and adhered to by cluster partners. Definition of NFI kit(s) are to be determined according to specific context		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐ Output ☑

2.1.5   *Vulnerability; Displacement*

n° 8	Number / % of families displaced from original home		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Vulnerability	Displacement	Settlement type (at origin); Displacement conditions (formal camp, spontaneous settlement, hosted, evacuation centre); Displacement status (temporary, permanent, returnee etc.), Aid recipient / beneficiary (whether already a beneficiary of cluster support or not)	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator is useful both as a snapshot for use in informing a given context as well as in terms of monitoring in situations where returns are possible and indeed supported by the humanitarian community. Monitoring of this indicator could provide an early indication of potential future housing needs amongst displaced households.		
Comments	Linkage with CCCM cluster as well as IOM / UNHCR depending of nature of displacement is critical for data verification.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐ Output ☐

2.1.6   Disaster Risk Reduction; Improved Land Management

n° 9	Number / % of population / settlements occupying hazardous land		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Disaster Risk Reduction	Improved Land Management	Geographical / Administrative location, Settlement type	
Description			
Rationale	Primarily useful following large scale permanent shelter campaigns to track whether the affected population understands which land is hazardous and the success of resettlement programmes on decreasing the impact of future disasters.		
Comments	This indicator requires geographic data collection capabilities and its analysis through GIS.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output

2.1.7   Disaster Risk Reduction; Shelter Hazard Mitigation

n° 10	Number / % of constructed / rehabilitated shelters incorporating hazard mitigation measures		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Disaster Risk Reduction	Shelter Hazard Mitigation	Settlement type; Shelter solution	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator provides information on the number of shelters constructed / set up by partners that incorporate specific measures designed to mitigate the impact of hazards experienced in the area of intervention (earthquake, fire, wind, etc.)		
Comments	Indicator would require that minimum standard criteria be defined in order to ensure that data reported by cluster members is comparable.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

2.2 OTHER SHELTER CLUSTER INDICATORS

2.2.1   *Shelter; Access to Shelter*

n° 11	Number / % of population with a covered living area at least 3,5m² per person	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Settlement type; Shelter solution
Description		
Rationale	Measurement of SPHERE standards for covered living area available to an individual. This indicator provides information about existing gaps in terms of covered living area and therefore can be used by aid actors to plan the amount of shelter rehabilitation/reconstruction needed.	
Comments	Given the amount of time and resources necessary to collect the information necessary for the measurement of this indicator, it may be less relevant in emergency settings – though may be a relevant baseline indicator when launching a transition from emergency shelter towards transitional shelter solutions. Ensure clear understanding across actors of the definition for a 'covered living area'.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 12	Number / % of households in affected areas indicating shelter as a priority need	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Settlement type, Household status (IDP, host family, etc.), Displacement conditions, Displacement status
Description		
Rationale	Information collected by this indicator is qualitative and reflects the community's perception of their needs. This indicator is adapted particularly in the early phases of the emergencies as it can be collected with few resources and over a short period of time.	
Comments	Definition of a community should be determined according to context to ensure consistent data	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 13	Average covered living area per person within the target population	
n° 14	Average plot area per person in camps for displaced	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Shelter solution, Household status, Settlement type
Description		
Rationale	This indicator provides information about existing gaps in the shelter response, and therefore can be used to inform aid actors about necessary strategy changes or support in planning shelter rehabilitation or reconstruction as well as land allocation needs.	
Comments	Data from this indicator can support in the verification of the SPHERE standards of 3,5m² (where necessary)	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 15	Number of affected households that started shelter reconstruction / rehabilitation independently of humanitarian aid / actors		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Access to Shelter	Settlement type, Household status (IDP, host family, etc.), Risk factor	
Description			
Rationale	Information collected by this indicator may help reflect the community's perception of their needs and provides an indication of the capacity / coping mechanisms available in terms of shelter. This indicator is adapted particularly in the early phases of the emergencies as it can be collected with few resources and over a short period of time.		
Comments	Developing an early understanding of the capacity of communities to undertake their own reconstruction is crucial in order to ensure that the responses developed build on capabilities and reduce the potential for aid to create dependency.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑ Process ☑ Evaluation ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑ Output ☐

2.2.2   Shelter; Shelter Assistance

n° 16	Number / % of affected families supported with shelter solutions		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Shelter solution (emergency, transitional, permanent), Aid delivery mechanism (shelter kit, shelter grant, Household status, Settlement type	
Description			
Rationale	Broad indicator useful for reporting progress at the cluster level.		
Comments	Shelter and or settlement assistance to be defined according to the specific context.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐ Output ☑

n° 17	Number / % of shelters repaired		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Settlement type, Cause of damage, Damage type/ category	
Description			
Rationale	Knowledge about shelter repairs (especially when disaggregated) provides the humanitarian community with two pieces of information: (1) number and % of shelters that are returned to conditions to host beneficiaries close to pre-emergency standards; and (2) whether any gaps have been created in the targeting of shelters selected for repair.		
Comments	If data for this indicator is disaggregated by damage category, these should be pre-defined by cluster members according to the specific context of the crisis. Phases of repair should also be determined in order to facilitate a comprehensive analysis.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐ Output ☑

n° 18	Number / % of host families supported with shelter solutions	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Household status, Shelter solution, Aid delivery mechanism
Description		
Rationale	The information collected refers to shelter solutions which are targeted to host families. These may include aid mechanisms to help host families - and their shelter infrastructure - cope with the added burden of hosted families.	
Comments	Specific vulnerabilities and shelter adequacy to be determined according to specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 19	Number / % of households in need of shelter assistance receiving shelter grants	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Settlement type, Damage Category, Household status
Description		
Rationale	This indicator provides quantitative information about the number of families who receive this specific type of shelter assistance but not the impact of such assistance.	
Comments	This indicator can be gathered quickly and easily if aid actors involved in shelter grants operations are reporting to the Shelter Cluster in a timely manner.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 20	% of identified shelter beneficiaries who have not received any shelter assistance to date	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Settlement type, Cause of damage, Household status
Description		
Rationale	This indicator provides information about targeted beneficiaries for shelter assistance who have not yet been assisted. It has a double function to: (1) provide an estimate of existing needs durign or at the end of relief / recovery assistance programmes; and (2) monitor and assess the overall impact of humanitarian assistance in the shelter cluster.	
Comments	An initial baseline would improve the reliability of the information collected through this indicator. Shelter and/or settlement assistance to be defined according to the specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 21	Number of shelter toolkits provided	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Household status, Settlement type, Damage category
Description		
Rationale	This is quantitative information that is defined to provide the overall number of beneficiaries who receive toolkits. It can be easily collected through aid actor reports to the cluster.	
Comments	Standardised understanding of what constitutes a toolkit to be defined according to specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 22	**Number / % of shelter grants used entirely for shelter purposes by the beneficiary household**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Household status, Settlement type, Damage category	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator can be used to measure the outcome of shelter grants programmes. Data from this indicator can be used to review the impact and relevance of this type of shelter assistance.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 23	**Number / % of distributed shelter toolkits being used by the beneficiary households**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Household status, Settlement type, Damage category	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator can be used to measure the outcome of shelter toolkit distributions. Data from this indicator can be used to review the impact and relevance of this type of shelter assistance.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 24	**Number / % of beneficiary households satisfied / unsatisfied with shelter solutions they received**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Settlement type, shelter type, aid delivery mechanism	
Description			
Rationale	The information collected by this indicator allows shelter aid actors to assess beneficiary houshold's rates of satisfaction with the shleter solutions that are provided.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 25	**Number / % of shelter beneficiary households with cases of Acute Respiratory Infection (ARI)**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Shelter	Shelter Assistance	Settlement type, shelter type	
Description			
Rationale	The information collected by this indicator enables to assess the effectiveness of measures (related to shelter design, NFI distribution or eductaion) to reduce the rate of ARI.		
Comments	Data could prove difficult to obtain or compare in post-emergency settings. Only use indicator if data collection mechanism is valid (for example: availability of medical certificates and/or of technical/medical staff among assessment team).		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

2.2.3   NFI; NFI Assistance

n° 26	Total number of NFIs distributed		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	NFI kit type (hygiene, cooking, family kit, etc.), NFI category	
Description			
Rationale	This is a quantitative information that is defined to provide an overall perspective of the scale of the intervention. Data collection can be facilitated by regular reporting of activities by cluster partners.		
Comments	Data for analysis of this indicator can be based on NFI distribution information provided by cluster actors provided a clear definition of NFI 'kits' is approved at a cluster level and adhered to by cluster partners.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

n° 27	Number / % of distributed NFIs being used / sold by beneficiary households		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	Beneficiary status (displaced, host family, etc.); NFI category, Timeframe	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator supports the measurement of the impact of NFI distribution interventions. When monitored in real-time it can support operational decision-making to ensure that NFIs being distributed are relevant based on the time of distribution and/or priority needs of the beneficiary communities.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐

n° 28	Number / % of population targeted for NFI assistance who have not received any NFI assistance to date		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	Beneficiary status (displaced, host family, etc.); NFI category, Timeframe	
Description			
Rationale	Understanding of gap analysis for the intervention.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

n° 29	Number / % of beneficiary households satisfied / unsatisfied with NFIs they received		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	NFI Assistance	NFI type, Aid delivery mechanism	
Description			
Rationale	The information collected by this indicator allows shelter aid actors to assess beneficiary household's rates of satisfaction with the NFI solutions that are provided.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐

2.2.4   NFI; Other Commodities

n° 30	**Number / % of targeted households with access to firewood**	
n° 31	**Number / % of targeted households with access to electricity**	
n° 32	**Number / % of targeted households with access to gas**	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
NFI	Other Commodities	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool.	
Comments	Relevance of indicator dependent on the specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 33	**Number / % of targeted households that can meet their daily need for cooking / heating fuel**	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
NFI	Other Commodities	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool. Based on the availability of baseline data, can be used to monitor the impact of action[s].	
Comments	This type of indicator can be used as a proxy for determining the impact of a shelter programme (e.g. improved isolation in shelters reducing expenditures on fuel for heating in the medium/- longer term). Type of cooking/heating fuel and its associated value to be determined according to specific context. Where relevant, it is strongly recommended to use indicator with the subsequent indicators listed below.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 34	**Average daily expenditure of a household on fuel for heating**	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
NFI	Other Commodities	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Relevance of this indicator as a vulnerability marker and as an impact monitoring tool is highly dependent on context – particularly availability of baseline data. May also be used as an early warning mechanism or criterion for intervention justification.	
Comments	This type of indicator can be used as a proxy for determining the impact of a shelter programme (e.g. improved isolation in shelters reducing expenditures on fuel for heating in the medium/- longer term). Type of cooking/heating fuel and its associated value to be determined according to specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 35	Average number of days per month distributed fuel lasts at households		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
NFI	Other Commodities	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status	
Description			
Rationale	Indicator supports needs definition / programmatic planning and can be used as an impact monitoring tool depending on the nature of the action (i.e. objective of increasing energy efficiency a the HH level). It may also be used as an early warning mechanism or criterion for intervention justification.		
Comments	Type of cooking/heating fuel and its associated value to be determined according to specific context.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.2.5   *Vulnerability; Social Vulnerability*

n° 36	Number / % of highly vulnerable families/individuals as defined by the humanitarian community		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Vulnerability	Social Vulnerability	Status (host, IDP, returnee); Risk factor; Settlement type, Aid recipient / beneficiary (whether already a beneficiary of cluster support or not)	
Description			
Rationale	Similar to the previous indicator, it provides more in-depth information on households considered to be most vulnerable and thus likely to be prioritised in the targeting of assistance. Allows for cluster to ensure protection elements can be prioritised in aid delivery to this group		
Comments	Link to protection cluster where necessary both to collect secondary data for the measurement of the indicator and to feed into the protection cluster information relevant to their activities and strategy.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 37	Number / % of highly vulnerable affected families as defined by the humanitarian community given shelter assistance		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Vulnerability	Social Vulnerability	Status (host, IDP, returnee); Vulnerability category; Settlement type	
Description			
Rationale	Similar to the previous indicator, it provides more in-depth information on whether the shelter cluster's aid interventions are reaching households considered to be most vulnerable and thus likely to be prioritised in the targeting of assistance. Allows for cluster to ensure protection elements can be prioritised in aid delivery to this group.		
Comments	Link to protection cluster where necessary both to collect secondary data for the measurement of the indicator and to feed into the protection cluster information relevant to their activities and strategy.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.2.6   Vulnerability; Displacement

n° 38	Number / % of displaced households living in adequate shelters meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Displacement	Displacement conditions (formal camp, spontaneous settlement, hosted, evacuation centre); Displacement status (temporary, permanent, returnee etc.), Shelter solution
Description		
Rationale	Relevant both in contexts of formal and informal displacement, this indicator can be used to determine shelter needs amongst displaced populations as well as monitor an intervention's progress and impact.	
Comments	Linkage with CCCM cluster as well as IOM / UNHCR depending of nature of displacement is critical for data verification.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome	Output
	Process	☑		☐	☑
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 39	Number / % of displaced persons returning to their shelter of origin	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Displacement	Settlement type (at origin); Displacement conditions (formal camp, spontaneous settlement, hosted, evacuation centre)
Description		
Rationale	This indicator facilitates the tracking of displaced populations, allowing for relevant planning to take place in order to meet the returnees' shelter needs.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome	Output
	Process	☑		☑	☐
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 40	Number / % of non-displaced or returning affected households with adequate shelter meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Displacement	Settlement type (at origin); Displacement conditions (formal camp, spontaneous settlement, hosted, evacuation centre)
Description		
Rationale	This indicator facilitates the tracking of shelter needs amongst non-displaced and returnee households.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome	Output
	Process	☑		☐	☑
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 41	Number / % of affected households able to return and reconstruct an adequate shelter meeting shelter standards defined by the cluster	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Displacement	Settlement type (at origin); Displacement conditions (formal camp, spontaneous settlement, hosted, evacuation centre), Aid delivery mechanism
Description		
Rationale	This indicator aims towards developing an enhanced understanding of the shelter gaps and needs amongst returnee populations or within areas experiencing returns following a crisis. It may further be used as an evaluation indicator for programmes focused on supporting returnees in the returns and recovery process.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome	Output
	Process	☑		☑	☐
	Evaluation	☑			

2.2.7   *Housing Land and Property; Security of Tenure*

n° 42	Number / % of forced evictions / property confiscations among target population		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
HLP	Security of Tenure	Geographical / Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile	
Description			
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at monitoring the sustainability, equity and protective nature of recovery efforts. It can also be used as an impact indicator to measure progress of land tenure campaigns.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 43	Number / % of target population affected by land disputes		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
HLP	Security of Tenure	Geographical / Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile	
Description			
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at monitoring the sustainability, equity and protective nature of recovery efforts. This indicator is useful in tracking types and numbers of land disputes, especially among vulnerable populations and can be used as an impact indicator in land tenure campaigns.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 44	Number / % of landless households/people due to the [event]		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
HLP	Security of Tenure	Geographical / Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile, Other vulnerability groups	
Description			
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at understanding the overall impact of the disaster on landlessness. This can be used throughout the recovery process as an impact indicator for resettlement activities.		
Comments	There would need to be agreement on the vulnerability groups to categorise population.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 45	Number of households provided with legal advice on HLP issues		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
HLP	Security of Tenure	Geographical/Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile, Household status	
Description			
Rationale	Monitoring tool to measure the progress of such interventions.		
Indicator Measurement			

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 46	Number of legal / policy reforms to promote equitable land access	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Security of Tenure	Timeframe
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at understanding the policy framework that exists for access to land and can be tracked over time to measure the impact of land tenure campaigns.	
Comments	There would need to be agreement on the vulnerability groups to categorise population	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.2.8   Housing Land and Property; Restoration of Land Rights

n° 47	Number / % of highly vulnerable families as defined by the humanitarian community, given access to land	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Restoration of Land Rights	Geographical/Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile, Timeframe
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at tracking the number and percentage of the affected population that gains access to land that is usually more vulnerable and has less access to land.	
Comments	This indicator requires the definition of the most vulnerable categories of the affected population given the current legal and policy environment.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 48	Number / % of landless population benefiting from programmes aimed at durable land resettlement solutions	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Restoration of Land Rights	Geographical/Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic / Religious profile
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at measuring the impact of land resettlement programmes among the affected population.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 49	Number / % of affected individuals with access to land dispute resolution body (judicial or customary / informal)	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Restoration of Land Rights	Geographical/Administrative location, Socio-economic / Ethnic profile
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at ensuring that resettled populations have access to the necessary bodies for claim to lost land and land to be used for resettlement.	
Comments	This indicator requires very close coordination with relevant government structures and a clear monitoring scheme.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.2.9   *Housing Land and Property; Land Records and Administration*

n° 50	Number of land records restored / issued	
n° 51	% of affected population with restored / issued land records	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Land Records and Administration	Geographical / Administrative location
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at measuring the progress of land records restoration that are typically necessary for subsequent claims to previously held land or land to be used by resettled populations. The percentage indicator can be used as an impact indicator of land provision campaigns by the government.	
Comments	This indicator requires very close coordination with relevant government bodies and an understanding of the documents / processes necessary for landholding.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☐	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 52	Number of days / steps necessary for access to necessary landholding documents	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
HLP	Land Records and Administration	Geographical / Administrative location
Description		
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at measuring the progress of the administration processes used for issuing new and restoring previous land documents. This can be used as an impact indicator over time for programming aimed at decreasing this processing time.	
Comments	This indicator requires very close coordination with relevant government bodies and an understanding of the documents / processes necessary for landholding.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 53	**Number of trainings / information campaigns conducted on land access**			
n° 54	**% of affected population that has received information / training on land access procedures**			
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division		
HLP	Land Records and Administration	Geographical / Administrative location, Household status		
Description				
Rationale	Primarily useful in large scale permanent shelter construction scenarios, this indicator aims at measuring the progress of campaigns focused on informing the affected populations about the processes they must go through to gain/re-gain access to land.			
Indicator Measurement				
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

2.2.10 Disaster Risk Reduction; Shelter Hazard Mitigation

n° 55	**Number / % of self-constructed / rehabilitated shelters incorporating hazard mitigation measures**		
n° 56	**Number / % of shelter owners adopting hazard mitigation measures**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Disaster Risk Reduction	Shelter Hazard Mitigation	Settlement type; Shelter solution	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator seeks to measure a change in building practices by the local population. The data should measure the number of households that incorporate / adopt specific measures designed to mitigate the impact of hazards experienced in the area of intervention (earthquake, wind, fire, etc.) in the construction / rehabilitation of their shelters.		
Comments	Indicator would require that minimum standard criteria be defined in order to ensure that data reported by cluster members is comparable. Ensure also that reported data indicates whether the information is pre-/ post-training or awareness raising campaign[s].		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output

n° 57	**Number / % of people reporting improved shelter hazard mitigation knowledge [x] months after training**		
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division	
Disaster Risk Reduction	Shelter Hazard Mitigation	Settlement type, Household status	
Description			
Rationale	This indicator seeks to measure the impact of 'soft' training initiatives.		
Indicator Measurement			
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output

2.2.11 Disaster Risk Reduction; Improved Land Management

n° 58	**Number of information campaigns conducted aimed at informing target groups about more durable land management practices**			
n° 59	**% of target groups / population reached by information campaigns on more durable land management practices**			
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division		
Disaster Risk Reduction	Improved Land Management	Geographical / Administrative location, Settlement type		
Description				
Rationale	Primarily useful following large scale permanent shelter campaigns to track whether the affected population understands how to better manage the new land that they inhabit to decrease the impact of future disasters.			
Indicator Measurement				
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑

2.2.12 Environment; Environmental Protection

n° 60	**Number / % of shelters constructed from sustainable/renewable supply sources**			
n° 61	**Number / % of shelter owners using sustainable/renewable materials for their rehabilitation / reconstruction activities**			
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division		
Other	Environmental Protection	Settlement type; Shelter solution		
Description				
Rationale	Primarily useful in large-scale transitional / permanent shelter ocnstruction scenarios, these indicators aim at monitoring the environmental impact of recovery efforts.			
Indicator Measurement				
Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☐ Process ☑ Evaluation ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑ (n°61)	Output ☑ (n°60)

2.3 CROSS-CUTTING INDICATORS

2.3.1   WaSH; Access to Water

n° 62	Number / % of affected households with access to safe drinking water	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
WaSH	Access to Water	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool	
Comments	Link with WaSH cluster. Ensure use of SPHERE/ WHO standards for 'safe' drinking water. Regular data collection recommended during operation – with increased intensity based on health statistics – and conducted on 'snapshot' basis at times of strong weather event[s].	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 63	Average distance from a water source / facility	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
WaSH	Access to Water	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool	
Comments	Link with WaSH cluster. Reference SPHERE standards in reporting the data. Regular data collection recommended during operation – with increased intensity based on health statistics.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

2.3.2   WaSH; Access to Sanitation

n° 64	Number / % of shelters with individual sanitation facilities	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
WaSH	Access to Sanitation	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool	
Comments	Type of individual sanitation facilities to be determined according to specific context. Link with WaSH cluster. Recommended to monitor data regularly during operation – with increased intensity based on health statistics, if relevant.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline ☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process ☑			
	Evaluation ☑			

n° 65	Number / % of shelters with shared sanitation facilities	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
WaSH	Access to Sanitation	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool	
Comments	Type of shared sanitation facilities to be determined according to specific context. Link with WaSH cluster. Recommended to monitor data regularly during operation – with increased intensity based on health statistics, if relevant.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 66	Average distance between shelter and communal sanitation facilities	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
WaSH	Access to Sanitation	Shelter type; Settlement type; Household status
Description		
Rationale	Indicator relevant as a vulnerability marker and as a progress monitoring tool. Data can be used to ensure that gender marker and gender sensitivities are taken into consideration in operations. Further, data can support analysis of impact of action[s].	
Comments	Type of shared sanitation facilities to be determined according to specific context. Ensure data is referenced to SPHERE standards when reported. Link with WaSH and protection clusters (in the latter if data can be disaggregated by gender).	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.3.3   *Vulnerability; Social Vulnerability*

n° 67	Total number of people affected	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Social Vulnerability	Status (host, IDP, returnee); Settlement type, Aid recipient / beneficiary (whether already a beneficiary of cluster support or not)
Description		
Rationale	This indicator provides the overall number of people affected by a/the crisis. Disaggregation by sex and/or age allows for a better definition of target groups and specific assistance planning by aid agencies/ organisations.	
Comments	Subdividing the indicator by whether the affected household has been a beneficiary (planned or recipient) allows for an evaluation of coverage of the cluster to support the preparation of factsheets or other progress reports.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☐
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.3.4   Vulnerability; Economic Vulnerability

n° 68	% of affected households under the poverty line after the [event]	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Economic Vulnerability	Household status, Economic sector
Description		
Rationale	This information presents the % of affected households who are currently under the poverty line according to country specific standards. If geo-referenced, this information could lead to a better understanding of geographical disparities in terms of needs.	
Comments	Poverty line to be determined according to specific context.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☐			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 69	Average monthly income pre and/or post [event] within target population	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Economic Vulnerability	Household status, Economic sector, Settlement type
Description		
Rationale	Assessing the impact of a crisis on HH income will enable actors to clarify which HHs are the most affected in terms of crisis resilience, as well as understanding which economic sectors have been the most affected in the immediate aftermath of a crisis. This information facilitates aid actors to effectively prioritise or target projects.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☐			
	Evaluation	☑			

n° 70	% of target families able to independently manage their daily needs	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Economic Vulnerability	Household status, Economic sector, Settlement type
Description		
Rationale	This indicator provides a generic overview of affected HHs economic profile based on the source of income per economic sector.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☑	Output ☐
	Process	☐			
	Evaluation	☑			

2.3.5   Vulnerability; Landmines / UXOs

n° 71	Number / % of shelters with presence of UXOs / ERW	
Theme	Sub-Category	(Optional) Sub-Division
Vulnerability	Landmines / UXOs	Settlement type; Geographical or Administrative location
Description		
Rationale	Presence of UXOs / ERW directly negatively affects physical access to the shelter for household members as well as humanitarian staff. UXOs / ERW have an impact on reconstruction or rehabilitation planning, as well as HH returns to their homes.	
Comments	Link to protection cluster.	
Indicator Measurement		

Use in Operation Management Cycle	Baseline	☑	Outcome or Output Indicator	Outcome ☐	Output ☑
	Process	☑			
	Evaluation	☑			