



**YEMEN**  
**SHELTER CLUSTER**

Coordinating Humanitarian Shelter and Settlements

---

# Shelter Cluster Plenary Meeting

16 March 2025

1:00 PM – 2:00 PM

---

## — Agenda

1. Introduction, Review of previous meeting action points & Updates from the Coordination Team – 10 minutes
2. Updates on the YHF 2025 1st SA (5 mins)
3. Cluster Coordination Performance Monitoring survey results and 2025 action plan (40 mins)
4. AOB (5 mins)

## — Review action points from the previous meeting

No	Description of Action Point	By Whom	Update	Status
1	FGD regarding the community feedback about plastic sheets and if they fit to the purpose	SDF		Pending
2	Review the shelter cluster specifications for plastic sheets and compare them with what is available in the local market and those plastic sheets purchased from abroad	TWiG		Pending
3	IM working group to review reporting method and discuss how it can be improved to better present shelter and NFIs achieved targets.	IMWG		Done

## — Introduction & Updates from the Coordination Team

1. Introduction of the Acting Cluster Coordinator
2. SAG Membership
3. Shelter Cluster Co-Coordinating partner CEI
4. Shelter TWiG Membership - Planned

## — Impact of the USG Funding Pause

Activities	Cluster Coordination and Geographical Impact
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>5 Shelter partners impacted due to the USG funding pause.</b></li><li>• <b>Total impacted fund is around \$15M.</b></li><li>• <b>Around 220K beneficiaries will be impacted.</b></li><li>• <b>The impact will be in several governorates including Taizz, Marib, and Al Hudaydah, Saada, Hajjah, Lahj and Aden.</b></li><li>• <b>It will mainly impact life saving activities like:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Distribution of Non-Food Items (NFIs) including energy kits</li><li>○ Emergency Shelter Distribution</li></ul></li><li>• <b>Mitigation Strategies:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Advocacy</li><li>○ Seeking alternative funding</li><li>○ Scaling down operations</li><li>○ Technical guidance on ethical/temporary closure</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- One Sub-National cluster coordinator might be impacted. Currently, the hiring partner is looking for alternatives to keep his shelter staff including the Sub-National coordinator.</li><li>- 13 governorates and 104 districts are expected to be impacted by this funding issue.</li></ul>



## — Updates on the YHF 2025 1st SA


- \$20 million allocation to support the delivery of prioritized life-saving humanitarian assistance
- Total funding for Shelter Cluster is 2.2m representing 11% of the total allocation fund.
- The allocation will focus on 25 districts, out of the 123 districts classified under severity 4 and 5 according to the HNRP inter-cluster analysis.
- Market Place Projects – Allocation Top-Up Approach
- Submission of projects proposals started in 12<sup>th</sup> of March and the deadline is 2<sup>nd</sup> of April.

# — Updates on the YHF 2025 1st SA

## YHF 1st Standard Allocation 2025 - Priority Districts

- Shelter Cluster coverage for the allocation is 16 districts.

Governorate	District
Abyan	Khanfar
Abyan	Lawdar
Abyan	Zinjibar
Ad Dali'	Ad Dali'
Hadramawt	Ash Shihr
Hajjah	Midi
Hajjah	Hayran
Hajjah	Harad
Ibb	Maqbanah
Ibb	Yarim
Ibb	Far' Al Odayn
Ta'iz	Maqbanah
Ta'iz	Ash Shamayatayn
Ta'iz	Al Ma'afer
Ta'iz	Jabal Habashi
Ta'iz	Al Qahirah



## — Updates on the YHF 2025 1st SA

Cluster	Priority Activities
Shelter	Distribution of Emergency Shelter
Shelter	Distribution of Non-Food Items including energy kit
Shelter	Provision of Rental Support
Shelter	Provision of Shelter repairs and upgrades ensuring minimum standards of living
Shelter	Provision of Transitional Shelters that are safer, dignified, climate-resilient, and environmentally sustainable

## Survey response

Partner Type	National Level	Sub-National Level	Overall (number of partners)	Response rate
National NGO	12	15	27	59%
International NGO	4	4	8	17%
UN Organization	5	3	8	17%
International Red Cross Red Crescent Movement	2	1	3	7%
National authority	0	0	0	0%
Academia/research institution	0	0	0	0%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>46</b>	

## 1. Supporting service delivery


Result

Graphs


85%: *Strong*

2023


82%: *Strong*



## 2. Informing HC/HCT strategic decision-making

Result	Graphs												
<p>77%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p style="background-color: #800000; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><b>2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">75%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</p> </div>	 <p>A pie chart illustrating the distribution of responses for 'Informing HC/HCT strategic decision-making'. The largest segment is 'Satisfactory' at 52%, followed by 'Strong' at 28%. 'Weak' and 'Don't know' each represent 9%, and 'Unsatisfactory' represents 2%.</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Legend for Pie Chart</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Don't know</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Satisfactory</td> <td>52%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strong</td> <td>28%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unsatisfactory</td> <td>2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Weak</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Don't know	9%	Satisfactory	52%	Strong	28%	Unsatisfactory	2%	Weak	9%
Category	Percentage												
Don't know	9%												
Satisfactory	52%												
Strong	28%												
Unsatisfactory	2%												
Weak	9%												

## 3. Cluster Strategy


Result	Graphs														
<p><i>75%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>2023</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td><i>75%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></td></tr></tbody></table>	2023	<i>75%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i>	 <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Category</th><th>Percentage</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Don't know</td><td>11%</td></tr><tr><td>Satisfactory</td><td>50%</td></tr><tr><td>Strong</td><td>26%</td></tr><tr><td>Unsatisfactory</td><td>7%</td></tr><tr><td>Weak</td><td>7%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Category	Percentage	Don't know	11%	Satisfactory	50%	Strong	26%	Unsatisfactory	7%	Weak	7%
2023															
<i>75%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i>															
Category	Percentage														
Don't know	11%														
Satisfactory	50%														
Strong	26%														
Unsatisfactory	7%														
Weak	7%														

## 4. Monitoring and Evaluation


Result	Graphs
--------	--------

*76%: Satisfactory  
(needs minor  
improvement)*

<b>2023</b>
<i>81%: Strong</i>



## 5. Contingency Planning

Result	Graphs												
<p>69%: <i>Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p style="background-color: #800000; color: white; text-align: center; margin: -5px -5px 5px -5px;"><b>2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">72%: <i>Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></p> </div>	 <p>A pie chart illustrating the distribution of responses for Contingency Planning. The largest segment is 'Satisfactory' at 46%, followed by 'Unsatisfactory' at 22%, 'Strong' at 17%, 'Weak' at 9%, and 'Don't know' at 7%.</p> <table border="1"> <caption>Survey Results Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Satisfactory</td> <td>46%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strong</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unsatisfactory</td> <td>22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Weak</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Don't know</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Satisfactory	46%	Strong	17%	Unsatisfactory	22%	Weak	9%	Don't know	7%
Category	Percentage												
Satisfactory	46%												
Strong	17%												
Unsatisfactory	22%												
Weak	9%												
Don't know	7%												

## 6. Advocacy

Result	Graphs												
<p>76%: <i>Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p style="background-color: #800000; color: white; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><b>2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>76%: Satisfactory (needs minor improvement)</i></p> </div>	<table border="1" style="margin-top: 10px;"> <caption>Advocacy Survey Results</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Satisfactory</td> <td>46%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strong</td> <td>28%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Weak</td> <td>13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unsatisfactory</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Don't know</td> <td>4%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Percentage	Satisfactory	46%	Strong	28%	Weak	13%	Unsatisfactory	9%	Don't know	4%
Category	Percentage												
Satisfactory	46%												
Strong	28%												
Weak	13%												
Unsatisfactory	9%												
Don't know	4%												

## 7. Accountability to affected population


Result

Graphs

73%: Satisfactory  
(needs minor improvement)


2023

79%:  
Satisfactory  
(needs minor improvement)




## Other Questions


Do you know the current composition of the SAG in your cluster?




How satisfied are you with the level of representativeness of the SAG?




How satisfied are you with the current active TWIGs of the Shelter Cluster?



In your opinion, is the current subnational coordination structure addressing all the geographical needs?



What is your overall satisfaction with the level of information shared by the Shelter Cluster?



# — AOB

# Thank You

More Info.:

<https://www.sheltercluster.org/response/yemen>

Follow us on X:

@ShelterClustYE